Common Fixed Points of Using Variants of Compatible Mappings

Sonia Rani

A.S. College for Women, Khanna, Punjab

Abstract: In this paper, we generalize the notion of reciprocal continuity to conditionally reciprocal continuity and obtain related common fixed point theorem in metric and fuzzy metric spaces. We also demonstrate existence and uniqueness of common fixed point for a pair of conditionally compatible self-mappings. **Keywords:** Conditionally reciprocal continuity, conditionally compatible mappings, g-compatible or fcompatible mappings

Date of Submission: 26-03-2021	Date of acceptance: 09-04-2021

I. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Zadeh [13] introduced the concept of fuzzy set. After the appearance of the notion of fuzzy set, the literature in area of fixed point theory has risen very quickly because of its interesting applications in applied sciences. Inspired by Menger spaces, Kramosil and Michalek [5] generalized the concept of probabilistic metric space to the fuzzy framework. Thereafter, many authors (see e.g.,[1-12]) established fuzzy version of most of the classical metric common fixed point theorems.

In the present paper, we generalize the notion of reciprocal continuity to conditionally reciprocal continuity and obtain related common fixed point theorem in metric and fuzzy metric spaces. We also demonstrate existence and uniqueness of common fixed point for a pair of conditionally compatible self-mappings.

Definition 1.1.[12] A binary operation $* : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is **continuous** *t*-norm if * satisfies the following conditions: for all $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$,

(i) * is commutative and associative;

(ii) * is continuous;

(iii) a * 1 = a;

(iv) $a * b \le c * d$, whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$.

Definition 1.2.[5] The 3-tuple (X, M, *) is said to be a **fuzzy metric space** if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous *t*-norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions: for all

- $x, y, z \in X$ and t, s > 0,
- (i) M(x, y, 0) = 0;
- (ii) M(x, y, t) = 1 if and only if x = y;
- (iii) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t);
- (iv) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t + s);$
- (v) $M(x, y, \bullet) : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ is left continuous;

The function M(x, y, t) denote the degree of nearness between x and y w.r.t. t respectively.

Definition 1.3.[4] A pair (f, g) of self-mappings of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) is said to be **compatible** if $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) = 1$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

A pair (f, g) of self-mappings of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) is said to be **non-compatible** if there exists at least one sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = z \in X$ but $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) \neq 1$ or non-existent for at least one t > 0.

Definition 1.4.[7,11] A pair (f, g) of self-mappings of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) is said to be

(i) *f***-compatible** if $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(fgx_n, ggx_n, t) = 1$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = z \text{ for some } z \in X.$

(ii) **g- compatible** if $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(gfx_n, ffx_n, t) = 1$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

 $n \rightarrow \infty$ $n \rightarrow \infty$

In 1998, Pant [8] introduced the concept of reciprocal continuity as follows: **Definition 1.5.** Let X be any non-empty set. A pair (f, g) of self-mappings on set X is said to be **reciprocally continuous** if $\lim_{n \to \infty} fgx_n = fz$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} gfx_n = gz$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = z \text{ for some } z \in X.$

Definition 1.6.[1] Let X be any non-empty set. A pair (f, g) of self-mappings on set X is said to be **occasionally** weakly compatible (*owc*) if there exists a point $x \in X$ which is a coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute.

Definition 1.7.[9] Let X be any non-empty set. A pair (f, g) of self-mappings on set X is said to be **conditionally** reciprocally continuous mappings if whenever the set of sequence $\{x_n\}$ satisfying $\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n$ is

nonempty, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X satisfying $\lim_{n \to \infty} fy_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gy_n = t$ such that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} fgy_n = ft \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} gfy_n = gt.$

Inspired by Pant and Bisht [10], we introduced similar concept in fuzzy metric spaces as follows:

Definition 1.8. A pair (f, g) of self-mappings of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) is said to be conditionally

compatible if whenever the set of sequence $\{x_n\}$ satisfying $\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n$ is nonempty, there exists a

sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X satisfying $\lim_{n \to \infty} fy_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gy_n = t$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(fgy_n, gfy_n, t) = 1$.

Lemma 1.1.[6] Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space. If there exists a constant $k \in (0,1)$ such that $M(x, y, kt) \ge M(x, y, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$, t > 0, then x = y.

II. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1: Let f and g be conditionally compatible self-mappings of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) satisfying:

(i) $M(x, gx, t) \neq \min \{ M(x, fx, t), M(gx, fx, t) \}$, whenever the right hand side is $\neq 1$.

If f and g are noncompatible and reciprocally continuous, then f and g have a common fixed point.

Proof: Since f and g are noncompatible, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x_n) = \lim_{x \to \infty} g(x_n) = z$ for some $z \in X$ but either $\lim M(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) \neq 1$ or the limit does not exist. Also, as f and g are conditionally compatible and $\lim fx_n = \lim gx_n = z$, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X satisfying $\lim_{n \to \infty} fy_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gy_n = u$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(fgy_n, gfy_n, t) = 1$. Reciprocal continuity of f and g implies that $\lim fgy_n = fu$ and $\lim gfy_n = gu$. By using different limits, we get fu = gu. Lastly, we claim that u is a coincidence point of Suppose not, i.e., $u \neq gu$ g. then using (i), we have $M(u, gu, t) \neq \min \{M(u, fu, t), M(gu, fu, t)\}, \text{ that is } M(u, gu, t) \neq M(u, gu, t), \text{ a contradiction.}$ This gives, u = gu = fu. Hence u is a common fixed point of f and g. **Remark 2.1:** Example 1.1 of [10] illustrates the validity of Theorem 2.1 if *I* and *T* in Example 1.1 are replaced

Remark 2.1: Example 1.1 of [10] illustrates the validity of Theorem 2.1 if I and T in Example 1.1 are replaced by f and g respectively, over a standard fuzzy metric.

Theorem 2.2: Let f and g be conditionally reciprocal continuous self mappings of a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) such that:

(i) $fX \subseteq gX$;

(ii) $M(fx, fy, qt) \ge M(gx, gy, t), q \in (0,1).$

If f and g are either compatible or g-compatible or f-compatible, then f and g have a unique common fixed point. **Proof:** Let x_0 be any point in X. Then, since $fX \subseteq gX$, there exists a sequence of points $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots$ such that $fx_n = gx_{n+1}$.

Define a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in X by

$$x_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (2.1)

We claim that $\{a_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Using (ii) we obtain

$$M(a_{n}, a_{n+1}, t) = M(fx_{n}, fx_{n+1}, t) \ge M\left(gx_{n}, gx_{n+1}, \frac{t}{q}\right)$$
$$= M\left(a_{n-1}, a_{n}, \frac{t}{q}\right) \ge \dots \ge M\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \frac{t}{q}\right).$$

Moreover, for every integer p > 0, we get

$$M(a_{n}, a_{n+p}, t) \ge M\left(a_{n}, a_{n+1}, \frac{t}{p}\right) * M\left(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \frac{t}{p}\right) * \dots M\left(a_{n+p-1}, a_{n+p}, \frac{t}{p}\right)$$
$$\ge M\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \frac{t}{pq^{n}}\right) * M\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \frac{t}{pq^{n+1}}\right) * \dots M\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \frac{t}{pq^{n+p-1}}\right)$$

This implies that $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(a_n, a_{n+p}, t) = 1$. Therefore $\{a_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, there exists a point z in X such that $a_n \to z$ as $n \to \infty$. Moreover, $\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = z$.

Since f and g are conditionally reciprocally continuous and $\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = z$, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ satisfying $\lim_{n \to \infty} fy_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gy_n = u(\operatorname{say})$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} fgy_n = fu$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} gfy_n = gu$. Since $fX \subseteq gX$, for each y_n , there exists a z_n in X such that $fy_n = gz_n$. Thus $\lim_{n \to \infty} gz_n = u$. By virtue of this and using (ii) we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} fz_n = u$. Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} g y_n = u, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} f z_n = u, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} f y_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} g z_n = u.$$
(2.2)

Suppose that f and g are compatible. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(fgy_n, gfy_n, t) = 1$ which implies fu = gu. Again, since compatibility implies commutativity at coincidence points, we get gfu = fgu = ffu = ggu. Using (ii) we get $M(fu, ffu, qt) \ge M(gu, ggu, t) = M(fu, ffu, t)$ which results, fu = ffu. Hence fu = ffu = gfu and fu is a common fixed point of f and g.

Now suppose that f and g are g-compatible. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ffy_n, gfy_n, t) = 1$ that is, $\lim_{n \to \infty} ffy_n = gu$. Using (ii) we obtain $M(fu, ffy_n, qt) \ge M(gu, gfy_n, t)$ on letting $n \to \infty$ we have fu = gu. Since g-compatibility implies commutativity at coincidence points, we obtain fgu = gfu and gfu = fgu = ffu = ggu. Using (ii) we get $M(fu, ffu, qt) \ge M(gu, gfu, t) = M(fu, ffu, t)$, that is fu = ffu. Hence fu = ffu = gfu and fu is a common fixed point of f and g.

Finally suppose that f and g are f-compatible. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(fgz_n, ggz_n, t) = 1$. By virtue of (2.2) and $\lim_{n \to \infty} gfy_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} ggz_n = gu$ we get $\lim_{n \to \infty} fgz_n = gu$. Using (ii) we get $M(fu, fgz_n, qt) \ge M(gu, ggz_n, t)$. On letting $n \to \infty$ this gives fu = gu. Since f-compatibility implies commutativity at coincidence points, we get fgu = gfu. Again using (ii), we have $M(fu, ffu, qt) \ge M(gu, gfu, t) = M(fu, ffu, t)$, that is fu = ffu. Hence fu = ffu = gfu and fu is a common fixed point of f and g. Uniqueness of common fixed point theorem follows easily in each of the three cases.

Remark 2.2: Example 6 of [9] illustrates the validity of Theorem 2.2 over a standard fuzzy metric.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Al-Thagafi, M. A. and Shahzad, N., Generalized *I*-nonexpansive self-maps and invariant approximations, *Acta Math. Sinica*, *English Series*, **24**(5)(2008), 867-876.
- [2]. George, A. and Veeramani, P., On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 64(1994) 395–399.
- [3]. Grabiec, M., Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets System*, 27(1988), 385-389.
- [4]. Jungck, G., Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*,9(4)(1986), 771-779.
- [5]. Kramosil, I., and Michalek, J., Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetica, 11(5)(1975), 336-344.
- [6]. Mishra, S.N., Sharma, N. and Singh, S.L., Common fixed points of maps on fuzzy metric spaces, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 17(2)(1994), 253-258.
- [7]. Naidu S.V.R., Fixed point theorems for four self maps on a metric space by altering distance, J. Indian Math. Soc., **71**(1-4)(2004), 153-173.
- [8]. Pant, R.P., Common fixed points of four mappings, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 90(1998), 281 286.
- [9]. Pant, R.P. and Bisht, R.K., Common fixed point theorems under a new continuity condition, Ann. Univ. Ferrara (2011). doi:10.1007/s11565-011-0141-5.
- [10]. Pant, R.P and Bisht, R.K, Occasionally weakly compatible mappings and fixed points. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, 19(2012), 1-7.
- [11]. Pathak, H.K. and Khan, M.S., A comparison of various types of compatible maps and common fixed points, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 28(4)(1997), 477-485.
- [12]. Schweizer, B. and Sklar A., Probabilistic metric spaces, Vol. 16, North Holland Series in Probability and Applied Mathematics, New York, (1983).
- [13]. Zadeh, L.A., Fuzzy sets, Infor. and Control.,8 (1965), 338-353.