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Abstract

Present project work aims at reducing emissions. It is a well-established fact that smooth combustion minimizes the emissions, and inlet process contributes a lot in accomplishing smooth combustion process. In present project work, different designs of inlet manifold for a multi cylinder spark ignition engine are optimized for reducing emissions, by evaluating back pressures and inlet velocities. For this purpose, four different designs, namely, short bend center exit, short bend side exit, long bend centre exit with reducer, and long bend side exit with reducer are considered, and their performance is evaluated for different loading conditions. As a result, performance scores of different models based on back pressure and inlet velocity are evaluated, and on the basis of these scores, overall performance score is investigated. In next step, on the basis of overall performance score, ranking of different models is carried out. The results show the suitability of short bend centre exit model for the purpose, as it scores better rank in the analysis. The analysis is carried out on virtual models of manifolds. Models of manifolds are developed on CATIA v5 modelling software, and for the purpose of analysis ANSYS Workbench is used.
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I. INTRODUCTION

An internal combustion engine (ICE) is a heat engine where the combustion of a fuel occurs with an oxidizer (usually air) in a combustion chamber that is an integral part of the working fluid flow circuit. In an internal combustion engine the expansion of the high-temperature and high-pressure gases produced by combustion apply direct force to some component of the engine. The force is applied typically to pistons, turbine blades, or a nozzle. This force moves the component over a distance, transforming chemical energy into useful mechanical energy. The first commercially successful internal combustion engine was created by Etienne Lenoir around 1859 and the first modern internal combustion engine was created in 1864 by Siegfried Marcus. The term internal combustion engine usually refers to an engine in which combustion is intermittent, such as the more familiar stroke and two-stroke piston engines, along with variants, such as the six-stroke piston engine and the Wankel rotary engine. A second class of internal combustion engines use continuous combustion: gas turbines, jet engines and most rocket engines, each of which are internal combustion engines on the same principle as previously described. Firearms are also a form of internal combustion engine. Typically an ICE is fed with fossil fuels like natural gas or petroleum products such as gasoline, diesel fuel or fuel oil. There’s a growing usage of renewable fuels like biodiesel for compression ignition engines and bi-ethanol for spark ignition engines. Hydrogen is sometimes used, and can be made from either fossil fuels or renewable energy.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

PL. S. Muthaiah [1] He has analyzed the inlet manifold in order to reduce the backpressure and also to increase the particulate matter filtration. He has modified the different inlet manifold by varying the size of the conical area of the inlet manifold and varying the size of the grid wire mesh packed throughout the inlet manifold. When size of the grid mesh packed decreased the backpressure increases which leads to lower the performance of the engine due to more fuel consumption and hence low volumetric efficiency. When size of the grid mesh packed increased the backpressure decreases the filtration of the particulate matter also reduces which will not satisfy the standards of the pollution control. Computational fluid dynamics is used for the study of the inlet manifold and best possible design of the inlet manifold with minimum backpressure and maximum particulate matter filtration efficiency is suggested.
In this work eight different models of inlet manifold were designed and analyzed to improve the fuel efficiency by lowering the backpressure and also by changing the position of the outlet of the inlet manifold and varying the bend length. The eight different modified models are short bend center exit (SBCE), short bend side exit (SBSE), long bend center exit (LBCE), long bend side exit (LBSE), short bend center exit with reducer (SBCER), short bend side exit with reducer (SBSER), long bend center exit with reducer (LBCER), long bend side exit with reducer (LBSER). After analysis they included that the inlet manifold with long bend center exit with reducer (LBCER), gives the highest overall performance.

Kulal etc. (2013) work comprehensively analyzes eight different models of inlet manifold and concluded the best possible design for least fuel consumption. CFD is the current trend on automotive field in reducing the cost effect for analysis of various models on the basis of fluid flow. A multi-cylinder Maruti - Suzuki Wagon-R engine with maximum speed of 1500 rpm is taken for the analysis. The load and performance test is conducted. From the experiment back pressure and inlet temperatures are measured. The mass flow rate and velocities are calculated. Flow through the inlet manifold is analyzed using commercially available software with mass flow rate and pressure as boundary conditions.

Vivekananda Navadagi and Siddaveer Sangamad they analyzed the flow of inlet gas from two different modified inlet manifold with the help of Computational fluid dynamics. To achieve the optimal geometry for the low back pressure they have analyzed two different inlet manifold, base geometry inlet manifold and the modified geometry inlet manifold. In the base model of the inlet manifold the outlet is at side of the first inlet where as in the modified model of the inlet manifold the outlet is at the center of the inlet manifold. Analysis has been done for the two different inlet manifolds. The results were compared for the two models and it is found that the modified model gives low back pressure in comparison with other base model which ensures the improvement in the efficiency of the engine.

III. PARTS OF IC ENGINE

Figure 3.1: Engine (Exploded View)

IV. DESIGNING AND ANALYSIS OF INLET MANIFOLD

Large numbers of design and analysis software are available in the market for designing and analysis of parts. In that we had chosen CATIA

4.1 Introduction to CATIA

CATIA started as an in-house development in 1977 by French aircraft manufacturer Avions Marcel Dassault, at that time customer of the CADAM software to develop Dassault’s Mirage fighter jet. It was later adopted in the aerospace, automotive, shipbuilding, and other industries. Computer Aided Three-Dimensional Interactive Application (CATIA) is well known software for 3-d designing and modeling for complex shapes. Commonly referred to as 3D Product Lifecycle Management software suite, CATIA supports multiple stages of product development (CAX), including conceptualization, design (CAD), engineering (CAE) and manufacturing (CAM). CATIA facilitates collaborative engineering across disciplines around its 3DEXPERIENCE platform, including surfacing & shape design, electrical, fluid and electronic systems design, mechanical engineering and systems engineering. CATIA facilitates the design of electronic, electrical, and distributed systems such as fluid and HVAC systems, all the way to the production of documentation for manufacturing.
4.2 Design:
CATIA offers a solution to shape design, styling, surfacing workflow and visualization to create, modify, and validate complex innovative shapes from industrial design to Class-A surfacing with the ICEM surfacing technologies. CATIA supports multiple stages of product design whether started from scratch or from 2D sketches.

4.3. Designed Models of Inlet Manifold

Figure 4.1: Long Bend Center Exit

Figure 4.2: Long Bend Center Exit with Reducer

Figure 4.3: Long Bend Side Exit

Figure 4.4: Long Bend Side Exit with Reducer

Figure 4.5: Short Bend Center Exit

Figure 4.6: Short Bend Center Exit with Reducer

Figure 4.7: Short Bend Side Exit

Figure 4.8: Short Bend Side Exit with Reducer
V. ANALYSIS

5.1 Types Of Analysis:
1. Structural Static Analysis
2. Structural Dynamic Analysis.
3. Structural Buckling Analysis.
   ➢ Linear Buckling
   ➢ Non-Linear Buckling
4. Structural Non-Linearity’s
5. Static And Dynamic
7. Thermal Analysis.
8. Electromagnetic Field Analysis.
9. Electric Field Analysis
10. Fluid Flow Analysis
   ➢ Computational Fluid Dynamics
   ➢ Pipe Flow
11. Coupled-Field Analysis

5.2 Introduction to Fluid Flow (Fluent)
ANSYS Fluent fluid flow systems in ANSYS Workbench to set up and solve a three- dimensional turbulent fluid-flow and heat-transfer problem in a mixing elbow. It is designed to introduce you to the ANSYS Workbench tool set using a simple geometry. Guided by the steps that follow, you will create the elbow geometry and the corresponding computational mesh using the geometry and meshing tools within ANSYS Workbench. You will use ANSYS Fluent to set up and solve the CFD problem, then visualize the results in both ANSYS Fluent and in the CFD-Post post processing tool. Some capabilities of ANSYS Workbench (for example, duplicating fluid flow systems, connecting systems, and comparing multiple data sets) are also examined in this tutorial.

5.3 Steps involved in the ANSYS fluid flow:
Launch ANSYS Workbench.
Create a Fluent fluid flow analysis system in ANSYS Workbench.
import the geometry to ANSYS.
Create the computational mesh for the geometry using ANSYS Meshing.
Set up the CFD simulation in ANSYS Fluent, which includes:
Setting material properties and boundary conditions for a turbulent forced-convection problem.
Initiating the calculation with residual plotting.
Calculating a solution using the pressure-based solver.
Examining the flow and temperature fields using ANSYS Fluent and CFD-Post.
Create a copy of the original fluent fluid flow analysis system in ANSYS Workbench.
Change the geometry in ANSYS Design Modeler, using the duplicated system.
Regenerate the computational mesh.
Recalculate a solution in ANSYS Fluent.
Compare the results of the two calculations in CFD-Post.

5.4 Material Fluid Properties
Inlet gas will be considered as an incompressible fluid operating at 230- 280°C. The material properties under these conditions are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Air + Gasoline</th>
<th>Specific heat (J/kg-K)</th>
<th>Thermal conductivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg/m³)</td>
<td>1.0685</td>
<td>1056.6434</td>
<td>0.0250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity (Pa-s)</td>
<td>3.0927 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.5 Boundary Conditions
The inlet mass flow rates for different models at six different loading conditions are given below using these mass flow rates the pressure and velocity contours were obtained.

### Table 5.2: Inlet Mass Flow Rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load</th>
<th>Inlet 1</th>
<th>Inlet 2</th>
<th>Inlet 3</th>
<th>Inlet 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 KG</td>
<td>0.000424 Kg/s</td>
<td>0.000424 Kg/s</td>
<td>0.000424 Kg/s</td>
<td>0.000424 Kg/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outlet pressure was taken as 0atm (Gauge) for all models. The mean hydraulic diameters for outlets of different models are shown below:

### Table 5.3: Inlet Mean Hydraulic Diameter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boundary</th>
<th>Mean Hydraulic Diameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INLET 1</td>
<td>1.00877 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INLET 2</td>
<td>2.00877 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INLET 3</td>
<td>3.00877 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INLET 4</td>
<td>4.00877 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5.4: Outlet Mean Hydraulic Diameter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Mean Hydraulic Diameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short Bend Center Exit (SBCE)</td>
<td>0.01302m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Bend Side Exit (SBSE)</td>
<td>0.01302m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Bend Center Exit (LBCE)</td>
<td>0.01302m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6 Engine Specifications
Following engine parameters were considered for calculation of mass flow rate at different loading conditions. The flow through inlet manifold was considered density Based.

### Table 5.5: Engine Specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine</th>
<th>4 Stroke 4 Cylinder SI Engine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Make</td>
<td>Maruti-Suzuki Wagon-R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calorific Value of Fuel (Gasoline)</td>
<td>45208 KJ/Kg-K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Gravity of Fuel</td>
<td>0.7 gm/cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bore and Stroke</td>
<td>69.05 mm X 73.40 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swept Volume</td>
<td>1100 cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression Ratio</td>
<td>7.2 :1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

![Results and Discussions Image](image-url)
Fig 6.1: Long bend center exit Pressure
Fig 6.2: Long bend center exit velocity
Fig 6.3: Long bend center inlet with reducer Pressure
Fig 6.4: Long bend center inlet with reducer Velocity
Fig 6.5: Long bend side inlet Pressure
Fig 6.6: Long bend side inlet - Velocity
Fig 6.7: Long bend side inlet with reducer Pressure
Fig 6.8: Long bend side inlet with reducer Velocity
Fig 6.9: Short bend center inlet Pressure
Fig 6.10: Short bend center inlet Velocity
The back pressure and inlet velocity for all the models at all loading conditions are listed below:

**Table 6.1: Backpressure for Different Models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>2KG</th>
<th>4KG</th>
<th>6KG</th>
<th>8KG</th>
<th>10KG</th>
<th>12KG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBCI</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>1111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBSI</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>1071</td>
<td>1098</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>1172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBCI</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>1012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBSI</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>1039</td>
<td>1076</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>1125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBCIR</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>1012</td>
<td>1047</td>
<td>1077</td>
<td>1114</td>
<td>1154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6.2: Inlet Velocity for Different Models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>2KG</th>
<th>4KG</th>
<th>6KG</th>
<th>8KG</th>
<th>10KG</th>
<th>12KG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBCI</td>
<td>17.03</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>19.52</td>
<td>21.45</td>
<td>23.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBSI</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBCI</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>21.33</td>
<td>22.07</td>
<td>23.52</td>
<td>23.98</td>
<td>24.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBSI</td>
<td>18.71</td>
<td>18.92</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td>20.12</td>
<td>22.21</td>
<td>23.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBCIR</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.79</td>
<td>18.23</td>
<td>19.86</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>23.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VII. CONCLUSION

Present research work is devoted to the evaluation of different models of inlet manifold for the purpose of reducing inlet emissions from a four cylinder SI engine. For this purpose, a set of eight alternatives was chosen, and modeled with the help of CATIA V5 modeling software. In next stage, CFD of different models were carried out on the basis of k-ε model, which finally yield the values of back pressures, and inlet velocities at different loading conditions. After that performance score was calculated for both the parameters, and as the last step of project overall performance score for different types was calculated. Following are the conclusions drawn during different conduction of CFD, and ranking procedures in the project work:

1. Forces exerted by gas particles in the manifold effect the values of back pressure and exit velocity, due to which overall performance score on the basis of these two parameters changes.
2. Short bend models show better performance, as compared with long bend models. Due to increased length, differences in overall performance score in long bend models are greater than that of short bend models. And Out of available set of alternatives, long bend center exit (LBCE) model of manifold is the best one because it has scored rank first for overall performance score.
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