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Abstract: In this paper, the optimum ratio of curing materials in the early-strength curing agent for the muddy silty clay with high water content in Shanghai was obtained through the experimental study. The curing agent used in current study consisted of the main material and auxiliary material. The main material is cement, and the auxiliary one had lime, gypsum, slag powder and ultra-fine cement. During the test, the different proportions of cement in main agent were replaced by the auxiliary curing materials to form the different ratio of curing agent. The early UCS of cured soft clay and its failure pattern was then investigated through adding the above different ratio of curing agent into soft clay with high water content. In terms of the early UCS and cost price of the curing agent, the optimal ratio of the early-strength curing agent for the soft clay with high water content can be determined. The result shows that when the total incorporation ratio of curing agent in soft clay was 20%, the optimum ratio for each curing materials is: 50%cement, 15%gypsum, 5%lime, 20% slag powder, and 10%ultra-fine cement.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Features of saturated soft soil include loose soil, high natural moisture content and low strength and high compressibility, which cause difficulties in ensuring the quality of engineering projects. It is necessary to reinforce the foundation in soft soil by use of soil stabilization, a new material, to make soil particle consolidated by physical and chemical reactions to improve the strength of soil.

There are two kinds of stabilizer in current engineering application, which one is inorganic compound curing agent made by lime, fly ash, cement, etc. and the other is organic compound one formed by modified water glass, polymer materials, surfactant, etc. The latter mainly used in road construction and hydraulic engineering projects employs ionic change to reduce repulsion and chemical polymerization producing organic molecular to cement soil particles. However, it is bad water resistance and more prone to the environment. The inorganic one, which used widely in engineering projects due to available materials, stable performance and lower cost, depends on hydrolysis and hydration to produce cementitious gels and crystals to make soil particles dense. But it cannot meet the requirement of soft soil with high moisture content in the early days, as the primary cementitious products, the hydrated calcium silicate (CHS), are not able to entirely fill with soil pore refining the improvement of strength.

It is an importation to study the curing materials of stabilization of soft soil with high moisture, which is higher early-strength, more economic, and environmentally friendly. Different proportions of cement are replaced by auxiliary curing materials (lime, gypsum, slag powder and ultra-fine cement) form the different ratio of curing agent. In terms of early unconfined compressive strength of treated soil and its cost price, strength and feature of cured soil are discussed at each ratio of curing agent, and then the optimum ratio of curing materials is given.

II. EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

2.1 soil sample

The soil used for the experimental study is silty clay with higher moisture content and compressibility, a typical fourth layer of soft soil with about 6 meters in top buried deep and 6.36 meters in thickness supplied from Pudong New District, Shanghai, China. Table. 1 presents some index and physical properties of the soil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>moisture content (%)</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural density(g/cm³)</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity(g/cm³)</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table. 1 Physical and mechanical properties of the soil
Remoulded soil is prevalent in laboratory test to obtain physical and mechanics parameters, which are not easy to get from undisturbed soil sensitivity to sample. Moreover, remolded soil is restively uniformed to ensure the accuracy of testing. The preparation of remolded soil is influenced by the previous guide[12-13]. The undisturbed soil was dried in the oven for 24 h at 105℃ to guarantee an initial water content of zero. Next, mashed dry soil by hands after cooling down and obtained its particle size distribution by sieving method. The particle size distribution of the remolded soil is shown in Fig.1. Then, it was placed in plastic bags until the time for preparing the sample.
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### Fig.1 Soil gradation curve

#### Table 2 Main components of the curing agent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Sample Requirements</th>
<th>Percentage of curing Agent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>P.O.425#</td>
<td>0 ~ 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slag powder</td>
<td>S95, SSA more than 400</td>
<td>0 ~ 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>Qualified Products</td>
<td>0 ~ 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime</td>
<td>Excellent products enriched Calcium oxide</td>
<td>0 ~ 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultra-fine cement</td>
<td>SSA more than 10000</td>
<td>0 ~ 10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.3 Test Plans

Sample preparation in the study was appropriate at water contents close to the natural moisture content, 40%. The cement content was 20% by weight of wet soil to ensure the economical use, and a constant water/binder ratio equal to 0.5 was employed. The test program containing the curing materials and ratio of each curing materials is given in Table 2.
2.4 Sample Preparations

As reviewed in previous guides, curing mixtures were mixed until they were uniform. Then, put the mixture and water into mixer machine working 10min to get them homogeneous. Then, the mixture was poured into cylindrical molds with 50mm in diameter and 100mm in heightin three times, which every layer was compacted by applying 30 blows, and left 24h. Three replicates were made for each trial. Thereafter, the bottoms and tops of the molds were sealed with thick plastic to prevent evaporation of water. The molds were removed after 24h, and the samples were kept in closed plastic bags with numbers into boxes filled with water until curing time (7d and 14d). The samples are shown in Fig. 2.

2.5 Testing Methods

The testing methods employed in this study were unconfined compressive strength (UCS) test, because unconfined compressive strength is a central design parameter of treated soil for quality control. Fig.3 showed the test machine provided by Tongji University. All UCS tests were driven under the constant strain rate of 0.24 mm/min. The day before the test, the samples needed pretreatment flatten the upper and lower surfaces to avoid the harmful effect on test accuracy as showed in Fig.4. The form of failure and early-strength were recorded.
III. RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 The trial employed cement as curing material

The UCS of curing agent with 20% cement was 0.2MPa in 7d increasing by 426% than the undisturbed soil, and 0.37MPa in 14d increasing by 874%. This indicated the curing agent with cement done well in improving the early-strength of remolded soil. The increase in UCS values can be explained by the hydration reaction of cement producing CSH and calcium hydroxide (CH), which was in alignment with those prior study. However, those products could not fill with soil pore refining the improvement of strength, using more cement was uneconomic and unfriendly to the environment as well.

3.2 The trial employed lime and gypsum as curing agent

This trial employed 5% lime by weight of binder, and gypsum in replacement proportion 5%, 10%, 15%, 20% and 25%, as seen in Fig.5. The UCS of treated soil increased as the content of gypsum was increased for the 7d curing time, while the 14d curing time became decrease. This could be explained by the main component of gypsum, anhydrite, which could react with hydration products to produce ettringite to fill with soli pore. But more ettringite would make a bad effect on the structure of cured soil similarly to the conclusion of Aly. The dosage of gypsum taken from 10% to 15% (B2 and B3) was acceptable, which grew by 15% compared with cement soil in 7d and 22% in 14d.

3.3 The trial employed slag powder as curing agent

Slag powder replaced partially cement and mixed with 5% lime and 15% gypsum. It observed from Fig.6 that a 20% replacement of slag powder increased the early-strength of samples of curing time (C2). The PH of hydration products is higher to destroy the structure of the glass phase of slag to release Ca$^{2+}$, AlO$_4$$^{3-}$, SiO$_4$$^{4-}$ to solution. Then the reaction between CH and active ingredient, such as SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, to produce CSH and calcium aluminate hydroxide (CAH) to enhance the early-strength of treated soil. Analogous to gypsum, the
content of slag powder over a certain value would destroy the structure of treated soil. Beyond that percentage, the UCS values slightly reduce.
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**Fig. 6** The second set of test data

3.4 The trial employed lime as curing agent

The 1st and 2nd groups are based on an assumption that lime dosage is 15%, and changing the lime dosage may change the best value of the two. So, it is necessary to check the effect indifferent dosage of lime on the early-strength of treated soil, and then get the best dosage of lime.

This trial employed 15% gypsum, 20% slag powder by weight of binder and lime in replacement proportion 3%, 5%, 7%, 9% as seen in Fig. 7. The UCS of treated soil increased with increased content of lime for the 7d curing time, converse to the 14d curing time, which is due to the feature of lime full of calcium oxide. The hydration reaction of lime produces much calcium hydroxide to accelerate the formation of gelling compounds to improve the early-strength. It was found that the peak stress of specimen D3 and D4 reached quickly and the returning to zero of the axial displacement was also quite fast after the failure, and showed obviously brittle failure prevented in engineering. In this trial, D2 parallel to C2 was the best and the best dosage of lime was 5%.

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 7** The third set of test data

3.5 The trial employed untra-fine cement as curing agent

Based on the dosages of D2, this trial employed untra-fine cement in replacement proportion 5%, 10%, 15%, as shown in Fig. 8. The strength of treated soil grew with the increased content of untra-fine cement in all curing time. The better feature of untra-fine cement including larger SSA and higher activity could accelerate hydration reaction and form gel compounds to make treated soil more dense. Considering the economy, choose the E2 with 10% untra-fine cement was acceptable, which increased 160% in 7d and 108% in 14d compared with the cement soil.
IV. SYNTHESIS EVALUATION

4.1 Comprehensive comparison of all test
Based on the above trial results, choose specimen B3, C2, D2 and E2 with the best dosage to compare with the specimen A0. It notes that C2 is same with D2. Fig.9 showed the comparison of compressive strength of each trial. It is obvious that the early-strength of E2 with ultra-fine cement was the best one. The strength was 0.52MPa in 7d increased 160% than A0 and 0.74MPa increased 100%. And the optimum ratio for each curing materials of E2 was: 50% cement, 15% gypsum, 5% lime, 20% slag powder, and 10% ultra-fine cement.

4.2 Economic Analysis
It is reasonable not only to consider the early-strength of treated soil with high moisture content but the cost of the curing agent. Table.4 showed the cost prices of curing materials. The price of A0 was 380 yuan of each ton, and E2 with 618 yuan was 1.6 times than A0. However, the early-strength of E2 increased 100% in 14d. So the ratio for each curing material of E2 is acceptable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>P.O.425</th>
<th>gypsum</th>
<th>lime</th>
<th>slag powder</th>
<th>ultra-fine cement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price (yuan/ton)</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION
(1)Gypsum, slag powder and lime have obvious effects on the strength of treated soil, but the excessive amount of ettringite causes UCS decrease. The main reason is that excessive ettringite content will cause damage to the gelled structure, so the dosage of auxiliary agent is controlled. Within a reasonable range, the cementation of hydration products and the filling effect of ettringite are fully exerted.
(2) The material properties of ultra-fine cement play an important role in improving the early strength of solidified soil.

(3) Considering the early strength and economic factors of each trial, the optimum ratio for each curing materials was: 50% cement, 15% gypsum, 5% lime, 20% slag powder, and 10% ultra-fine cement.
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