Fekete-Szegö Problems for Quasi-Subordination Classes

A. Gangadharan¹, B. Srutha Keerthi², S. Chinthamani³

¹Head and Professor Easwari Engineering College, Ramapuram, Chennai ²Department of Mathematics School of Advanced Sciences VIT Chennai Campus Chennai - 600 127, India ³Research Scholar Anna University

Abstract : An analytic function f is quasi-subordinate to an analytic function g, in the open unit disk if there exist analytic functions and w. with $\phi \leq 1$, φ w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1 such that $f(z) = \varphi(z)g(w(z))$. Certain subclasses of analytic univalent functions associated with quasi-subordination are defined and the bounds for the Fekete-Szegö Coefficient functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ for functions belonging to these subclasses are derived.

Keywords: Fekete-Szegö functional, analytic function, subordination, quasi-subordination, univalent function.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50.

I. Introduction

Let A be the class of analytic function f in the open unit $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ normalized by f(0) = 0 and f'(0) = 1 of the form $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$. For two analytic functions f and g, the function f is subordinate to

g, written as follows:

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}) \prec \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{z}) \qquad (1.1)$$

if there exists an analytic function w, with w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1 such that f(z) = g(w(z)). In particular, if the function g is univalent in D, then $f(z) \prec g(z)$ is equivalent to f(0) = g(0) and $f(D) \subset g(D)$. For brief survey on the concept of subordination, see [1].

Ma and Minda [2] introduced the following class

$$\mathbf{S}^{*}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) = \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{A} : \frac{\mathbf{z}\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{z})}{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z})} \prec \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{z}) \right\}, \tag{1.2}$$

where ϕ is an analytic function with positive real part in D, $\phi(D)$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis and starlike with respect to $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\phi'(0) > 0$. A function $f \in S^*(\phi)$ is called Ma-Minda starlike (with respect to ϕ). The class C (ϕ) is the class of functions $f \in A$ for which $1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \phi(z)$. The class S^{*}(ϕ) and C(ϕ) include several well-known subclasses of starlike and convex functions as special case.

For two analytic functions f and g, the function f is quasi-subordinate to g, written as follows:

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}) \prec_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{z}) \tag{1.3}$$

if there exist analytic functions $\underline{\varphi}$ and w, with $|\underline{\varphi}(z)| \leq 1$, w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1 such that $f(z) = \varphi(z)g(w(z))$. Observe that $\varphi(z) = 1$, then f(z) = g(w(z)), so that $f(z) \prec g(z)$ in D. Also notice that if w(z) = z, then $f(z) = \varphi(z)g(z)$ and it is said that f is majorized by g and written by $f(z) \ll g(z)$ in D. Hence it is obvious that quasi-subordination is a generalization of subordination as well as majorization.

Throughout this paper it is assumed that ϕ is analytic in D with $\phi(0) = 1$. Motivated by [2,3,28], we define the following classes.

Definition 1.1. Let the class $N_q(\alpha, \phi)$ consists of functions $f \in A$ satisfying the quasi-subordination

$$\frac{\alpha z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \prec_q \phi(z) - 1$$
(1.4)

Example 1.1. The function $f : D \rightarrow C$ defined by the following

$$\alpha z^{2} \frac{f''(z)}{f(z)} + z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 = z(\phi(z) - 1)$$
(1.5)

belongs to the class $N_q(\alpha, \phi)$.

Definition 1.2. Let the class $M_q(\alpha, \lambda, \phi)$, $(\alpha \ge 0)$ consist of functions $f \in A$ satisfying the quasi-subordination

$$z\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha} + \lambda \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right)\right] - 1 \prec_{q} \phi(z) - 1$$
(1.6)

Example 1.2. The function $f : D \rightarrow C$ defined by the following

$$z\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha} + \lambda \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right)\right] - 1 = z(\phi(z) - 1)$$
(1.7)

belongs to the class $M_q(\alpha, \lambda, \phi)$.

It is well known (see [10]) that the n-th coefficient of a univalent function $f \in A$ is bounded by n. The bounds for coefficient give information about various geometric properties of the function. In this paper, we obtain coefficient estimates for the functions in the above defined classes.

Let Ω be the class of analytic functions w, normalized by w(0) = 0 and satisfying the condition |w(z)| < 1. We need the following lemma to prove our results.

Lemma 1.1. (see [26]) If $w \in \Omega$, then for any complex number t

$$|\mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{t}\mathbf{w}_1^2| \le \max\{1, |\mathbf{t}|\}$$
 (1.8)

The result is sharp for the functions $w(z) = z^2$ or w(z) = z.

2. Main Results

Throughout the paper, $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots$, $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \cdots$, $\underline{\phi}(z) = C_0 + C_1 z + C_2 z^2 + C_3 z^3 + \cdots$, $B_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B_1 > 0$.

Theorem 2.1. If $f \in A$ belongs to $N_q(\alpha, \phi), \alpha \ge 0$, then

$$|\mathbf{a}_{2}| \le \frac{\mathbf{B}_{1}}{1+2\alpha}, |\mathbf{a}_{3}| \le \frac{\mathbf{B}_{1}}{2(1+3\alpha)} \left[1 + \max\left\{ 1, \frac{\mathbf{B}_{1}}{1+2\alpha} + \left| \frac{\mathbf{B}_{2}}{\mathbf{B}_{1}} \right| \right\} \right]$$
 (2.1)

and for any complex number μ ,

$$\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2(1+3\alpha)} \left(1+\max\left\{1,\frac{B_{1}}{1+2\alpha}\left|1-\frac{2\mu(1+3\alpha)}{(1+2\alpha)}\right|+\left|\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right|\right\}\right)$$
(2.2)

Proof. If $f \in N_q(\alpha, \phi)$, then there exist analytic functions $\underline{\phi}$ and w, with $|\underline{\phi}| \leq 1$, w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1 such that

$$\frac{\alpha z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 = \underline{\varphi}(z)(\phi(w(z)) - 1)$$
(2.3)

Since

$$\frac{\alpha z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 = a_2 (1 + 2\alpha)z + (2a_3 (1 + 3\alpha) - a_2^2 (1 + 2\alpha))z^2 + \cdots$$

$$\phi(w(z)) - 1 = B_1 w_1 z + (B_1 w_2 + B_2 w_1^2)z^2 + \cdots$$
(2.4)

$$\underline{\varphi}(z)(\phi(w(z))-1) = B_1 C_0 w_1 z + (B_1 C_1 w_1 + C_0 (B_1 w_2 + B_2 w_1^2) z^2 + \dots$$
(2.5)

it follows from (2.3) that

$$a_{2} = \frac{B_{1}C_{0}w_{1}}{1+2\alpha}, \quad a_{3} = \frac{1}{2(1+3\alpha)} \left[B_{1}C_{1}w_{1} + B_{1}C_{0}\left(w_{2} + \left(\frac{B_{1}C_{0}}{(1+2\alpha)} + \left|\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right|\right)w_{1}^{2}\right) \right]$$
(2.6)

Since $\varphi(z)$ is analytic and bounded in D, we have [27, page 172]

$$|\mathbf{c}_{n}| \le 1 - |\mathbf{c}_{0}|^{2} \le 1 \quad (n > 0)$$
 (2.7)

By using this fact and the well known inequality, $|w_1| \le 1$, we get

$$\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{(1+2\alpha)} \tag{2.8}$$

Further,

$$a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{2(1+3\alpha)} \left\{ B_{1}C_{1}w_{1} + B_{1}C_{0} \left[w_{2} + \left(\frac{B_{1}C_{0}}{(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}} - \frac{2\mu(1+3\alpha)}{(1+2\alpha)^{2}} B_{1}C_{0} \right) w_{1}^{2} \right] \right\}$$
(2.9)
Then

Then

$$\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2(1+3\alpha)} \left\{ \left|B_{1}C_{1}w_{1}\right| + \left|B_{1}C_{0}\left[w_{2}-\left(\frac{2\mu(1+3\alpha)}{(1+2\alpha)^{2}}B_{1}C_{0}-\frac{B_{1}C_{0}}{(1+2\alpha)}-\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right)w_{1}^{2}\right]\right|\right\}$$
(2.10)

Again applying $|C_n| \leq 1$ and $|w_1| \leq 1,$ we have

$$\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2(1+3\alpha)} \left[1+\left|w_{2}-\left(-\left(\frac{1}{(1+2\alpha)}-\frac{2\mu(1+3\alpha)}{(1+2\alpha)^{2}}\right)B_{1}C_{0}-\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right)w_{1}^{2}\right|\right]$$

(2.11)

Applying Lemma 1.1 to

$$w_{2} - \left(-\frac{1}{(1+2\alpha)} \left(1 - \frac{2\mu(1+3\alpha)}{(1+2\alpha)} \right) B_{1}C_{0} - \frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}} \right) w_{1}^{2} \right|$$
(2.12)

yields

$$\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2(1+3\alpha)} \left(1+\max\left\{1,\left|-\frac{1}{(1+2\alpha)}\left(1-\frac{2\mu(1+3\alpha)}{(1+2\alpha)}\right)B_{1}C_{0}-\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right|\right\}\right)$$

(2.13) Observe that

$$-\frac{1}{(1+2\alpha)}\left(1-\frac{2\mu(1+3\alpha)}{(1+2\alpha)}\right)B_{1}C_{0}-\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\leq B_{1}|C_{0}|\left|1-\frac{2\mu(1+3\alpha)}{(1+2\alpha)}\right|+\left|\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right|$$
(2.14)

and hence we can conclude that

$$\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2(1+3\alpha)} \left(1+\max\left\{1,\frac{B_{1}}{1+2\alpha}\left|1-\frac{2\mu(1+3\alpha)}{(1+2\alpha)}\right|+\left|\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}\right|\right\}\right)$$
(2.15)

For $\mu = 0$, the above will reduce to the estimate of $|a_3|$.

Theorem 2.2. If $f \in A$ satisfies

$$\frac{\alpha z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 << \phi(z) - 1$$
(2.16)

then the following inequalities hold:

$$|\mathbf{a}_{2}| \le \frac{\mathbf{B}_{1}}{1+2\alpha}, |\mathbf{a}_{3}| \le \frac{\mathbf{B}_{1}}{2(1+3\alpha)} \left[1 + \frac{\mathbf{B}_{1}}{1+2\alpha} + \left| \frac{\mathbf{B}_{2}}{\mathbf{B}_{1}} \right| \right]$$
 (2.17)

and for any complex number μ ,

$$\left| a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2} \right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2(1+3\alpha)} \left(1 + \frac{B_{1}}{1+2\alpha} \left| 1 - \frac{2\mu(1+3\alpha)}{(1+2\alpha)} \right| + \left| \frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}} \right| \right)$$
(2.18)

Proof. The result follows by taking w(z) = z in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\alpha \ge 0$. If $f \in A$ belongs to $M_q(\alpha, \lambda, \phi)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{a}_{2}| &\leq \frac{\mathbf{B}_{1}}{(1+\alpha)(1+\lambda)}, \\ |\mathbf{a}_{3}| &\leq \frac{\mathbf{B}_{1}}{(2+\alpha)(1+2\lambda)} \left(1 + \max\left\{ 1, \frac{\mathbf{B}_{1}}{(1+\alpha)^{2}(1+\lambda)^{2}} \left| \frac{(1-\alpha)(2+\alpha)}{2} + \lambda(3+\alpha) \right| + \left| \frac{\mathbf{B}_{2}}{\mathbf{B}_{1}} \right| \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2} \right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{(2+\alpha)(1+2\lambda)} \left(1 + \max\left\{ 1, \frac{B_{1}}{(1+\alpha)^{2}(1+\lambda)^{2}} \times \left| \frac{(1-\alpha)(2+\alpha)}{2} + \lambda(3+\alpha) - \mu(2+\alpha)(1+2\lambda) \right| + \left| \frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}} \right| \right\} \right)$$
(2.19)

Proof. If $f \in M_q(\alpha, \lambda, \phi)$, for $\lambda \ge 0$ then there are analytic functions $\underline{\phi}$ and w, with $|\underline{\phi}(z)| \le 1$, w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1 such that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha} + \lambda \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right)\right] - 1 = \underline{\varphi}(z)(\phi(w(z)) - 1)$$
(2.20)

A computation shows that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha} = 1 + a_2(1+\alpha)z + (2+\alpha)\frac{z^2}{2}[2a_3 + (\alpha-1)a_2^2]$$

$$\lambda \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right)\right] = \lambda [a_2z + z^2(4a_3 - 3a_2^2) + \alpha (a_2z + z^2(2a_3 - a_2^2))] \quad (2.21)$$
where from (2.18), we have

Hence from (2.18), we have

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha} + \lambda \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right)\right] - 1$$

$$= a_{2}(1+\alpha)(1+\lambda)z + z^{2} \left[a_{3}(2+\alpha)(1+2\lambda\lambda+a_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{(\alpha-1)(2+\alpha)}{2} - \lambda(3+\alpha)\right) \right] + \cdots \quad (2.22)$$

It then follows from relation (2.17) and (2.19) that

$$a_{2} = \frac{B_{1}C_{0}w_{1}}{(1+\alpha)(1+\lambda)},$$

$$a_{3} = \frac{1}{(2+\alpha)(1+2\lambda)} \left\{ B_{1}C_{1}w_{1} + B_{1}C_{0}w_{2} + C_{0}\left[B_{2} + \frac{B_{2}^{2}C_{0}}{(1+\alpha)^{2}(1+\lambda)^{2}} \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)(2+\alpha)}{2} + \lambda(\alpha+3) \right) w_{1}^{2} \right] \right\}$$
(2.23)

We can then conclude the proof by proceeding similarly as previous theorem.

Theorem 2.4. If
$$f \in A$$
 satisfies

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha} + \lambda \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right)\right] - 1 <<\phi(z) - 1$$
(2.24)

then the following inequalities hold:

$$|a_{2}| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{(1+\alpha)(1+\lambda)},$$

$$|a_{3}| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{(2+\alpha)(1+2\lambda)} \left(1 + \frac{B_{1}}{(1+\alpha)^{2}(1+\lambda)^{2}} \left| \frac{(1-\alpha)(2+\alpha)}{2} + \lambda(\alpha+3) \right| + \left| \frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}} \right| \right)$$
(2.25)

and for any complex number μ ,

$$\left| a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2} \right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{(2+\alpha)(1+2\lambda)} \left(1 + \frac{B_{1}}{(1+\alpha)^{2}(1+\lambda)^{2}} \right) \\ \left| \frac{(1-\alpha)(2+\alpha)}{2} + \lambda(3+\alpha) - \mu(2+\alpha)(1+2\lambda) \right| + \left| \frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}} \right| \right)$$
(2.26)

Proof. The result follows by taking w(z) = z in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Acknowledgement

The present investigation was supported by Science and Engineering Research Board, New Delhi – 110 016 project no: SR/S4/MS:716/10 with titled "On Classes of Certain Analytic Univalent Functions and Sakaguchi Type Functions".

References

- [1] P. Duren, Subordination, in Complex Analysis, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 599 (1977), 22–29.
- [2] W. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in: Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, (Tianjin, 1992), Conference Proceedings Lecture Notes Analysis, International Press, Cambridge, Mass, USA, 1 (1994), 157–169.
- [3] M.S. Robertson, Quasi-subordination and coefficient conjectures, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 76 (1970), 1–9.
- [4] O. Altintaş and S. Owa, Majorizations and quasi-subordinations for certain analytic functions, Proceedings of the Japan AcademyA, 68(7) (1992), 181–185.

- [5] S.Y. Lee, Quasi-subordinate functions and coefficient conjectures, Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society, 12(1) (1975), 43–50.
- [6] F.Y. Ren, S. Owa and S. Fukui, Some inequalities on quasi-subordinate functions, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 43(2) (1991), 317–324.
- [7] S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu and M.O. Reade, All α-convex functions are starlike, Revue Roumaine de Mathematiques Pures et Appliquees, 17 (1972), 1395–1397.
- [8] Z. Lewandowski, S. Miller and E. Zlotkiewicz, Gamma-starlike functions, Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska A, 28 (1976), 53–58.
- [9] M. Darus and D.K. Thomas, α-logarithmically convex functions, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 29(10) (1998), 1049–1059.
- [10] L. de Branges, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, Acta Mathematica, 154(1-2) (1985), 137–152.
- [11] H.R. Abdel-Gawad, On the Fekete-Szeg\"{o} problem for alpha-quasi-convex functions, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, 31(4) (2000), 251–255.
- [12] O.P. Ahuja and M. Jahangiri, Fekete-Szeg\"{0} problem for a unified class of analytic functions, Panamerican Mathematical Journal, 7(2) (1997), 67–78.
- [13] R.M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, Coefficient bounds for p-valent functions, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 187(1) (2007), 35–46.
- [14] R.M. Ali, S.K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, The Fekete-Szegö coefficient functional for transforms of analytic functions, Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society, 35(2) (2009), 119–142.
- [15] N.E. Cho and S. Owa, On the Fekete-Szegö problem for strongly α-logarithmic quasiconvex functions, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 28(3) (2004), 421–430.
- [16] J.H. Choi, Y.C. Kim and T. Sugawa, A general approach to the Fekete-Szegö problem, Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan, 59(3) (2007), 707–727.
- [17] M. Darus and N. Tuneski, On the Fekete-Szegö problem for generalized close-to-convex functions, International Mathematical Journal, 4(6) (2003), 561–568.
- [18] M. Darus, T.N. Shanmugam and S. Sivasubramanian, Fekete-Szegö inequality for a certain class of analytic functions, Mathematica, 49(72)(1) (2007), 29–34.
- [19] K.K. Dixit and S.K. Pal, On a class of univalent functions related to complex order, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 26(9) (1995), 889–896.
- [20] S. Kanas, An unified approach to the Fekete-Szegö problem, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 218 (2012), 8453–8461.
- [21] S. Kanas and H.E. Darwish, Fekete-Szegö problem for starlike and convex functions of complex order, Applied Mathematics Letters, 23(7) (2010), 777–782.
- [22] S. Kanas and A. Lecko, On the Fekete-Szegö problem and the domain of convexity for a certain class of univalent functions, Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Rzezowskiej. Matematyka i Fizyka, (10)(1990), 49–57.
- [23] O.S. Kwon and N.E. Cho, On the Fekete-Szegö problem for certain analytic functions, Journal of the Korea Society of Mathematical Education B, 10(4) (2003), 265–271.
- [24] V. Ravichandran, M. Darus, M.H. Khan and K.G. Subramanian, Fekete-Szegö inequality for certain class of analytic functions, The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 1(2) (2004), Article 2, 7 pages.
- [25] V. Ravichandran, A. Gangadharan and M. Darus, Fekete-Szegö inequality for certain clasa of Bazilevic functions, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 15(2) (2004), 171–180.
- [26] F.R. Keogh and E.P. Merkes, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20 (1969), 8–12.
- [27] Z. Nehari, Conformal mapping, Dover, New York, NY, USA, 1975, Reprinting of the 1952 edition.
- [28] Maisarah Haji Mohd and Maslina Darus, Fekete-Szegö problems for Quasi-subordination classes, Abstract and applied analysis, 2012, ID 192956.