Analysis of G +10 Building With Or Iwthout Shear Wall

K.S.Patil¹,KedarYuvrajM.²,ShelkeSagarV.³,KalePranitaM.⁴,ShaikhRamijR.7Ni kamPrasadN⁶

¹AssistantProf.JSPM'sI.C.O.E.R,DepartmentOfCivilEngineering,Wgholi,Pune. ^{2,3,4},7⁶UnderGraduateScholars,JSPM'sI.C.O.E.R.,DepartmentOfCivilEngineering,Wgholi,Pune. CorrespondingAuthor:Sagar Vishnu Shelke

Abstract: Shearwallisastructural element which is provided for resisting horizontal forces (likewind force, earthqua keforce, etc.) parallel to the plane of the wall and for supporting gravity loads simultaneously. These are basically flexural members which are generally provided in high rise buildings to avoid the total collapse forces. the building exposed to seismic For seismic design of of buildings, RC structural walls or shear walls are majore arthquake resisting members which offer lateral load resisting the structural walls of the structural wall wallsstancebyproviding an efficient bracing system. The response of the buildings is dominated by the properties of seismic shear walls and so it becomes important to evaluate the seismic response of the shear walls appropriately. In this study, the effect of presence of shear walls inRCC and composite structures in being analysed on basis of storey displacement, storey drift, stiffness, lateral force and base shearfor G+10buildings. Effectiveness of shear wall is being studied with the help of two different models. Model 1 is RCC building without shear wall, Model 2 is RCC building with shear wall, The earthquake load is applied building to а in zone IVand theanalysisisdoneusingstaticanalysismethod.Keywords:ETAB2018,RCCbuildings,Buildingwithsteelconcrete, composite, columns, Seismic.

Date of Submission: 06-07-2021	Date of acceptance: 19-07-2021

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent time, a lot of effort is given to develop the structural control devices so that seismic impact in buildings can be reduced. One such practice is introduction of shear wall in the buildings. Shear one of the best means to provide earthquakeresistanceinmultiwalls are storiedbuilding.Behaviourofbuildingunderearthquakeloaddependsonhowtheweight,stiffnessandstrengthare distributed in the horizontal and lateral direction. Shear walls are used in the building to reduce the effect of earthquake byimprovingtheseismicresponseofbuildings. Itbecomesimportanttoensureadequatelateralstiffnesstoresistlateralload.Forhigh-rise buildings, beam and column sizes are very heavy and requirement of steel is large because of which there is a lot of congestion at the joints and making it difficult to vibrate concrete atthejointsandalsothedisplacementis quiteheavy.

InIndiamostofthe

buildingsarelowrise.So,RCCmembersareusedwidelyasitiseasytoconstructandiseconomical.Howeverwith the growth of population there is increasing growth in high-rise buildings in metropolis. It is observed that the use of RCCshear wall with RCC column over without RCC shear wall with RCC column members is much more effective and economical inhighrisebuildings

. In this project effective ness of shear wall in RCC building with RCC columnshave been studied with the help of four different models using Etabsinz one and the state of the state of

IV.Theanalysisisdonebystaticanalysismethod. ThemodelsconsideredfortheanalysisareasfollowsModel1 isRCCbuilding withoutshear wall,

Model2isRCCbuildingwithshearwall

BuildingModeling

For the analysis G+10 storey building has been considered having height of 3m for each story including the ground storey. The structure model in symmetrical about both the axis. The modeling has been done in accordance IS 456 and IS 1893 .The buildings has the fixed support at the base. The buildings are model using software ETAB for zone IV. Centret ocenter distance between the two consecutive columns are 4m, the columns provided is square as the yresiste arthquake loading better. The study is carried out for the same building planwith and without shear wall for both RCC

columns and composite columns by making four different models. Equivalent staticmethod and response spectrum method have been used for the analysis and analysis has been done considering theparameterslikestoreydisplacement, storeydrift, stiffness, lateral force and bases hear.

LITERATUREREVIEW

1. TabassumGShrihatti(2015)-

The results of traditional investigation with RC and steel building constructions tage analysis were studied. Three-dimensional RC C and 30-

II.

storysteelbuildingmodellinglocatedherezoneIV with solids and form be considered with consequences of the study bega ined. The structures be known by way of a rigid frame in both buildings. It was observed that for Time History Analysis the de flection was in order of 5–20 mm and thus we performed Equivalent Static Method with Earthquake and Md Loads of that region acting simultaneously to analyse and come to the conclusion of how we could optimize the structure.

1.MeghnaB.SandT.HSadashivaMurthy(2016)-

The RC construction system about G+ 5 fluctuating pillar now outside location with RC handoverrafter has been exchanged through

acombinationtransmissionstrutwithmodelinvestigationbepassedavailableusing**ETABS**software. Theresearchinvol vedhereistraditionalresearchand sequence analysis of the construction and comparison of the parameters such as beam moments and deflection of both buildings. StructuralAnalysis and Design Software. The analysis is done in a numerical way by the **E-Tabs** program, a finite element package, which enables us tosolvethelinearandthe nonlinearPDEsandthusthe modulus ofelasticityofthebeammaterialisobtained.

3.MichaelR.Dupuis(2016)

analyzed seismic performance of shear wall buildings with gravity-induced lateral demands using OpenSees software. Theinelastic response of concrete shear wall buildings was investigated. From the result, it was demonstrated that a seismicratcheting effect can develop and amplify inelastic displacement demands. But the effect is more prevalent in coupled shearwalls thancantileveredshearwalls.

4. Wh-ILiao(2017)

conducted an experimental investigationon highseismic performance shear wall. The test results of four largescale shearwalls, (two shear walls under shake table tests and two shear walls under reversed cyclic loading) were presented. Theresponse time histories for accelerations. shear walls under dynamic loading induced byshaketable.Theforce-displacementhysteretic loops were presented for theshear walls under reversed cyclic loading. From the experimental results, it wasfoundthatthetestedhighperformanceshear walls havebetterductilitythanthat of conventional shear walls.

5. Mr.K.LovaRaju(2017)

Conducted non-linear analysis of frames to identify effective position of shear wall in multi storey building. An earthquake loadwas applied to an eight-storey structure of four models with shear wall at different location in all seismic zones using ETABS.Push over curves were developed and has been found the structure with shear wall at appropriate location is more importantwhileconsideringdisplacementandbaseshear.

OBJECTIVEOFSTUDY

- 1. To analyzeamultistoriedbuildingwithandwithoutshearwallusingETABS.
- 2. Todesignthestructuralcomponentsbeams, columns, slabs, Shearwall.
- 3. Tostudytheeffectof storey-driftinRCCbuildingwithandwithout shear wall.
- 4. Todeterminethestiffness of the RCC building with and without shear wall.

FUTURESCOPEOFSTUDY

Shear wall are considered to be a gift to further construction industry.scope of shear walls in construction field is immerse.It'ssince their arrival in market there topic was always a topic of interest. Shear walls are the structures usually build to balancelatteralloadactingonthestructure.wherethelatteralloadaremostpredominantlywindandearthquakeloads.and predominantlyearthquakeloadaremore intense in the structure.

effectonthebuildingstructures.Earthquakearebecomingmoreintensedue to the key reason that is ground water depletion.Hence in order to overcome the diverse effect of earthquake it is alwaysbesttosaveourselvesfromfuturedisastars.

Shear walls are quick in construction, as the walls doesn't need any special brick arrangement or plastering they are very quickin their construction.it just requires an effective from work and very few skills labour. It was estimated that a 20 floor buildingcanbebuiltwithinsixmonthswhich most astonishing.

 $\label{eq:model} \textbf{W} in the limit eds cope of the present work, the broad conclusions drawn from this work have been reported. However, furthe r study can be under taken in the following areas:$

1) Thestudyofchangingpositionofshearwallcanbedonebyvariationofshapeandsizesofshearwall.

2) Flexiblefoundationisnotconsidered;therefore,workcanberepeatedbysoilstructureinteraction.

3) Thisstudy could be extended by including various other parameters such as torsional effects and softstore yeffect sinabuilding.

4) The structure with vertical or horizontal irregularity can be considered for future study.

III. METHODOLOGY

- Draftingof G+10buildinginAutoCAD
- Transfer drawingtoETAB&preparationofbuildingmodel
- Assigningpropertiestobuildingwithandwithoutshearwall

Buildingstorey	G+10	
Totalheightofbuilding	33m	
Heightofeachstorey	3.0m	
Beamize 3	50 n 700m	
Colunsize 6	00 % 600m	
Shearwallthickness	250m	
Slabthickness	225m	
Thicknessofexternalwalls	230m	
Thicknessofinternalwalls	115m	
Liveload	3KN/m	
Floorfinish	25KN/ħ	
GradeofConcrete	80	
GradeofreinforcingSteel	HYSD415	
GradeofSteel	Fe250	

De_nsityofConcrete	25KN/m
Zone	IV
Inortancefactor	1.2
G - 11	N 40:
Solicondition	



• Comparisonofoutputdatafromdifferentstructural



Analysis Of G +10 Building With Or Iwthout Shear Wall

Fig3:PlanandElavationviewofbuildingwithshearwall

IV. RESULTS

LateralDisplacement-FromtheobservedresultsitwasfoundthatbuildingwithRCCcolumninpresenceofshearwallshowed minimumdisplacement

Tablez:Storeydisplacement			
Storey	Rcc(mm)	Rccwithshearwall(mm)	
	6.562	1.427	
2	17.543	4.305	_
5	29.419	8.29	_
	41.529	13.165	
i	53.728	18.744	_
5	65.909	24.868	
,	78.016	31.398	
3	89.997	39.211	
)	101.723	45.199	

Table? . Storev displacement

10	113.168	52.261
11	124.227	59.311

StoreyDrift-

Decrease instorey driftwas observed in presence of shear wall in building with RCC column. Maximum driftwas observed in RCC building without shear wall.

Table3:StoreyDrift			
Story	RCC(mm)	RCCwithshearwall(mm)	
1	6.562	1.427	
2	10.972	2.879	
3	11.885	3.985	
4	12.12	4.875	
5	12.189	5.579	
6	12.181	6.124	
7	12.107	6.53	
8	11.963	6.814	
9	11.744	6.987	
10	11.445	7.063	
11	11.059	7.05	

Stiffness-

It is observed that building with RCC column having shear wall has maximum stiffness and RCC building without shear wall shows minimum stiffness as evident from the graph below.

Table4:Stiffness			
STORY	RCC(kN/m)	RCCwithshearwall(kN/m)	
1	0	0	
2	1268830	6058615.79	
3	758607.9	3000926.082	
4	699311.8	2164984.441	
5	683496.6	1764036.19	
6	675719.4	1532494.518	
7	670043.4	1383341.694	
8	665269	1280102.383	
9	661009	1204476.322	
10	657014.2	1146030.216	
11	653038.4	1098099.278	

V. CONCLUSIONS

 $\begin{array}{c|cccc} & it is observed that for high rise building of G + 10 storey , building with RCC shear wall and RCC column & is & more & efficient. & It & is & observed that displacement and drift is reduced substantially and stiffness of the building. Hence it is concluded that composite column building with shear wall counterse is microreas compared to other \\ \end{array}$

models.IncaseofRCCframedstructurethelateraldisplacementisveryhigh.Itisobservedthatin presence of shear wall the displacement at top reduces by approx. 40% in case of static analysis in both RCC shear wall and RCC columnbuildings.

Hencethebuildinginpresenceofshear wallwithRCCcolumncounterstheseismiceffectmoreefficiently.
 Storey-

drift is the relative displacement, it means the drift of one level relative to the level below. It is observed that the drift attop is reduced by 13% in presence of shear wall in case of static analysis.

BuildingwithRCCshearwallandRCCcolumnreducesthedriftbyapprox.

25% compared to RCC column buildings.

Stiffnessofthebuildingis

more in case of RCCs hear wall and RCC column to without RCCs hear wall and RCC column building.

REFERENCES

- [1]. M. R. Suresh (2015), "The Optimum Location of shear wall in high rise R.C BUILDINGS Under LateralLoading", JJRET: international journal of Researchin Engineering and technology, eISSN:2319-1163/pISSN:2321-7308
- [2]. BureauofIndianStandards,IS456(2000),"Plainandreinforcedconcretecodeofpractice".
- [3]. IS1893(part-1)2002"Criteriaof earthquakeresistancedesignofstructuresBureauofIndianStandards", NewDelhi
- [4]. Himalee, Rahangdale, S.R. Satone (2013), "Design and analysis of multistoried building with effect of Shear MI", International
- journalofengineeringresearchandapplication", Vol-3, issue-3,pp.223-232.
- [5]. P.P.Chandurkar, Dr.P.S.Pajgade (2013), "Seismicanalysis of RCCB uilding with and Whout Shear WI",
- internationaljournalforresearchinengineeringapplication&management(IJREAM)Vol-3,Issue 3,pp.1805-1810.
- [6]. AxayThapa&SajalSarkar(2017), "Comparativestudyofmulti-storiedRCCbuildingwithandwithoutshearwall", Issue. 2, Vol-6, pp.11-20.
 [7]. PrakashAN(2018) "Analysisofamultistoriedbuildingwithandwithoutshearwall", international journal forresearchinengineering application on management (IJREAM) vol-04, Issue-03, pp.243-246.
- [8]. Chandana kurma, G. Siva vignan, T. Sai Krishna Teja (2018), "Non-linear analysis of multistoried building with and without shear wall", international journal for researchinengineering application & management (IJREAM) vol-07, Issue-01, pp. 23-28.
- [9]. ETABNon-linearver.18,ExtendedthreedimensionalanalysisofBuildingsystems,ComputersandstructuresInc
 [10]. GeneralServicesAdministration(GSA).(2003).ProgressivecollapseanalysisanddesignguidelinesforNewfederalofficebuildingsandmaj ormodernizationprojects,GSA.
- [11]. QuanfengMig,LingyunMig,QiangshengLiu(2001), "Effectofshearwallheightonearthquakeresponse" EngineeringStructures, vol.23, pp. 376-384.
- [12]. P.A.Hidalgo, R.M.Jordan, M.P. Martinez (2002), "Ananalytical model to predict the inelastic seismic behavior of Shearwall, reinforced concrete structures" Engineering Stuctures, vol. 24, pp. 85-98.
- [13]. UgaleAshishB.,RautHarshalataR.(2014), "EffectofSteelPlateShearMonBehaviourofStructure"International Journal ofCivilEngineeringResearch, Volume:05, Issue:02, ISSN: 2278-3652,pp.295-300.
- [14]. G.SHiremath,Md.SaddamHussain(2014), "EffectofChangeinShearMLocationMhUniformandVarying ThicknessInHighBigBuilding"International JournalofScienceandPassersh(JJSP), Volume 3, Jesue 10, JSSN
- ThicknessInHighRiseBuilding"InternationalJournalofScienceandResearch(IJSR), Volume:3, Issue:10, ISSN:2319-7064, pp.284-288. [15]. U.L.Salve, R.S.Londhe, "EffectofCurtailedShear MonStoreyDriftofHighRiseBuildingsSubjectedtoSeismic
- Loads", IOSRJournalofMechanicalandCivilEngineering(IOSR-JMCE), Volume11, Issue4pp.45-49, e-ISSN:2278-1684, p-ISSN:2320-334, July2014.
- [16]. RamanKumar,ShagunveerSinghSidhu,ShwetaSidhu,HarjotSinghGill(2014), "SeismicBehaviourofShear**M**FramedBuildings"International Journal of Engineering Technology, Management and Applied Sciences (IJETMAS), Volume: 2, Issue: 1, ISSN: 2349-4476, pp. 28-38.
- [17]. Nishith B. Panchal, Vinubhai R. Patel (2014), "Diagrid Structural System: Strategies to Reduce Lateral Forces on High-RiseBuildings" International Journal of Researchin Engineering and Technology (IJRET), Volume:03, Issue:04, pp. 374-378,
- [18]. NitinChoudhry,Mahendra Mia(2014), "PushoverAnalysisofR.C.FramedBuildingwithShear M"IOSRJournal ofMechanicalandCivilEngineering(IOSR-JMCE), Volume:11,Issue:02,e-ISSN:2278-1684,p-ISSN:2320-334X ,pp.09-13.
- [19]. Giovanni Maria Montuori, Elena Mele, Giuseppe Brandonisio, Antonello De Luca (2014), "Geometrical Patterns forDiagridBuildings:Exploringalternativedesignstrategiesfromthestructuralpointofview",EngineeringStructure71,pp.112-127.
- [20]. SepidehKorsavi,andMohammadRezaMaqhareh(2014), "TheEvolutionaryProcessofDiagridStructureTowardsArchitectural" ArchitecturalEngineeringTechnology(J.Archit EngTech), Volume:03,Issue: 02,pp.1-11.
- [21]. RajeshJayarambhaiPrajapati, Vinubhai.R.Patel (2014), "EffectofDifferentPositionofShearMonDeflectionin HighRiseBuilding"InternationalJournalof AdvancesinEngineering&Technology(IJAET),, Vol.6, Issue: 4, ISSN: 22311963, pp. 1848-1854.
- [22]. P.P.Chandurkar, Dr.P.S.Pajgade (2013), "Seismic Analysis of RCCBuilding with and without Shear MI"
- InternationalJournalofModernEngineeringResearch(IJMER),Volume:03,ISSN:2249-6645,pp-1805-1810. [23]. KhushbuJania,PareshV.Patel(2013),"AnalysisandDesignofDiagridStructuralSystemforHighRiseSteelBuilding",Chemical, Civil and
- Mechanical Engineering Tracks of 3rd Nirma University International Conference on Engineering(NUICONE),pp.92-100, [24]. KhushbuJania,PareshV.Patel(2013), "DesignofDiagridStructuralSystemforHighRiseSteelBuildingsasperIndianStandards"Structures
- [24]. KhushbuJania, Paresh V. Patel (2013), "DesignofDiagridStructuralSystemforHighRiseSteelBuildingsasperIndianStandards" Structures Congress, pp.1070-1081
- [25]. EhsanSalimiFiroozbad,Dr.K.RamaMohanRao,BahadorBagheri(2012), "EffectofShear**M**Configurationon SeismicPerformanceofBuilding"Proc.Of InternationalConferenceonAdvancesinCivilEngineering,pp.121-125.
- [26]. ISCodes: a. IS-456-2000
- a. 15-450-2000
- b. IS- 875(Part1)-1987
- c. IS- 875(Part2)-1987
- d. IS-875(Part3) -1987
- e. IS-1893(Part1)-20164.