

Commutativity of Prime Near Γ -rings with Nonzero Reverse σ -derivations and Derivations

A. K. Halder

Department of Mathematics, University of Rajshahi
Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh

Abstract

Let M be a near Γ -ring, and let σ be an automorphism on M . Let d be a reverse σ -derivation on M . In this study, we investigate the commutativity of a prime near Γ -rings M employing certain conditions on non-zero reverse σ -derivations d and non-zero derivations on M .

Keywords: Γ -rings, prime near Γ -rings, non-zero reverse σ -derivations, non-zero derivations, commutativity of prime near Γ -rings.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16Y30, 16W25, 16U80.

Date of Submission: 08-12-2021

Date of acceptance: 23-12-2021

I. Introduction

Let M and Γ be additive abelian groups. M is said to be a Γ -ring if there exists a mapping $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ (sending (x, α, y) into $x\alpha y$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & (x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z, \\ & x(\alpha + \beta)y = x\alpha y + x\beta y, \\ & x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(b)} \quad & (x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z), \\ & \text{for all } x, y, z \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

A Γ -ring M (not necessarily abelian under addition) is called a right (resp. left) near Γ -ring satisfying the right distribution law over addition (resp. left distribution law over addition). A right (resp. left) near Γ -ring M is said to be a zero-symmetric right (resp. left) near Γ -ring if $0\alpha x = 0$ (resp. $x\alpha 0 = 0$), for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. A near Γ -ring M is said to be prime if $x\alpha M\beta y = 0$ implies either $x = 0$ or $y = 0$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. A near Γ -ring M is said to be 2-torsion free if $x + x = 0$ for all $x \in M$ implies $x = 0$. The center of M is denoted by Z and is defined by $Z = \{x \in M : x\alpha y = y\alpha x \text{ for all } y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma\}$. The commutator $x\alpha y - y\alpha x$ is denoted by $[x, y]_\alpha$. In our paper, we use the condition $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ whereabouts we need and we denote it by $(*)$. With the help of $(*)$, the useful notations $[x, y\beta z]_\alpha$ and $[x\alpha y, z]_\beta$ are given by $[x, y\beta z]_\alpha = y\alpha[x, z]_\beta + [x, y]_\alpha\beta z$ and $[x\alpha y, z]_\beta = x\alpha[y, z]_\beta + [x, z]_\alpha\beta y$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. An additive mapping $d : M \rightarrow M$ is said to be a derivation on M if $d(x\alpha y) = x\alpha d(y) + d(x)\alpha y$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. The derivation d is said to be commuting on M if $[d(x), x]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Y.Ceven [10] studied on Jordan left derivations on completely prime Γ -rings. He investigated the nonzero Jordan left derivation on a completely prime Γ -ring that can make the Γ -ring commutative by an assumption. He proved that

every Jordan left derivation on a completely prime Γ -ring is a left derivation on it by the same assumption. In this paper, he created an example of Jordan left derivation on Γ -rings.

Mustafa Asci and Sahin Ceran [7] investigated a nonzero left derivation d on a prime Γ -ring M that makes M commutative with the conditions $d(U) \subseteq U$ and $d^2(U) \subseteq Z$, with an ideal U of M and the center Z of M . They also studied the commutativity of M using the nonzero left derivation d_1 and right derivation d_2 on M such that $d_2(U) \subseteq U$ and $d_1d_2(U) \subseteq Z$.

A derivation and a Jordan derivation on Γ -rings are defined due to Sapanci and Nakajima [8]. They proved that a Jordan derivation on a certain type of completely prime Γ -ring is a derivation. They also set examples of a derivation and a Jordan derivation of Γ -rings.

A. K. Halder and A. C. Paul [1] investigated the existence of a non-zero Jordan left derivation of a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring into a faithful ΓM -module X that makes M commutative. They also proved that M is commutative if $d : M \rightarrow M$ is a derivation.

K. K. Dey and A. C. Paul [5] studied σ -derivations d on Prime Gamma-Near-Rings with automorphism σ on Prime Gamma-Near-Rings. In this study, they proved that if d is a σ -derivation such that $\sigma d = d\sigma$ with $d^2 = 0$, then $d = 0$. They also investigated composition $\sigma\tau$ -derivations of two derivations σ and τ on Prime Gamma-Near-Rings.

A. M. Ibraheem [3] investigated the commutativity of prime Γ -near-rings M with the help of generalized Γ -derivations F and G satisfying certain conditions.

In this study, we develop the results of A. M. Ibraheem [2] and the commutativity part of [4] in Γ -ring version. We prove the commutativity of a prime near Γ -ring M with a reverse σ -derivation $d : M \rightarrow M$ satisfying certain properties. We also prove the commutativity of a two-torsion free prime near Γ -ring in presence of a non-zero derivation with some conditions.

II. Initial Results

To prove our main results we need the following definition and lemmas:

Definition 2.1 *If M is a near Γ -ring and σ is an automorphism on M then an additive mapping $d : M \rightarrow M$ is said to be a reverse σ -derivation whenever $d(x\alpha y) = d(y)\alpha x + \sigma(y)\alpha d(x)$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.*

Lemma 1 *For any near Γ -ring M and any arbitrary automorphism $d : M \rightarrow M$, $d(x\alpha y) = \sigma(y)\alpha d(x) + d(y)\alpha x$ if and only if $d(x\alpha y) = d(y)\alpha x + \sigma(y)\alpha d(x)$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Therefore d is a reverse σ -derivation on M if and only if $d(x\alpha y) = d(y)\alpha x + \sigma(y)\alpha d(x)$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.*

Proof

Suppose $d(x\alpha y) = \sigma(y)\alpha d(x) + d(y)\alpha x$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Since $(x + x)\alpha y = x\alpha y + x\alpha y$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x + x)\alpha y &= \sigma(y)\alpha d(x + x) + d(y)\alpha(x + x) \\ &= \sigma(y)\alpha d(x) + \sigma(y)\alpha d(x) + d(y)\alpha x + d(y)\alpha x \dots \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(x\alpha y + x\alpha y) &= d(x\alpha y) + d(x\alpha y) \\ &= \sigma(y)\alpha d(x) + d(y)\alpha x + \sigma(y)\alpha d(x) + d(y)\alpha x \dots \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Equations (1) and (2) yield

$$d(x\alpha y) = \sigma(y)\alpha d(x) + d(y)\alpha x = d(y)\alpha x + \sigma(y)\alpha d(x), \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

The reverse part is similar.

Lemma 2 *If d is a non-zero reverse σ -derivation on a prime near Γ -ring M and $d(M) \subset d(Z)$ then M is a commutative near Γ -ring.*

Proof

Suppose $d(x) \in Z$ for all $x \in M$. Then

$$d(x)\alpha z = z\alpha d(x) \dots \tag{3},$$

for all $z \in Z, x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

We replace x by $x\beta y$ in equation (3) and then use the action of d to get

$$(d(y)\beta x + \sigma(y)\beta d(x))\alpha z = z\alpha(d(y)\beta x + \sigma(y)\beta d(x)),$$

which with (*) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(y)\alpha d(x)\beta z - z\beta\sigma(y)\alpha d(x) &= -d(y)\alpha x\beta z + z\beta d(y)\alpha x \\ &= -d(y)\alpha x\beta z + d(y)\alpha z\beta x \dots \end{aligned} \tag{4},$$

for all $x, y \in M, z \in Z$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Replacing $\sigma(y)$ by $d(x)$ in equation (4) and then using (3), we have

$$d(y)\alpha(-x\beta z + z\beta x) = d(y)\alpha[z, x]_\beta = 0 \tag{5},$$

for all $x, y \in M, z \in Z$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

We write $z\alpha y$ for z in equation (5) and then use equation (5) and (*) in the obtained result to get

$$d(y)\alpha z\beta[y, x]_\alpha = 0,$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Since M is prime and d is non-zero,

$$[y, x]_\alpha = 0,$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Therefore M is a commutative prime near Γ -ring.

Lemma 3 *If d is a non-zero reverse σ -derivation on a prime near Γ -ring M with center Z then $d(Z) \subset Z$.*

Proof

Let $x \in M$, $z \in Z$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then we have

$$d(x\alpha z) = d(z\alpha x).$$

Now by using Lemma 1 and then replacing $\sigma(z)$ by Z in the obtained result, we have

$$d(x\alpha z) = z\alpha d(x) + d(z)\alpha x \dots \tag{6}$$

for all $x, z \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Also,

$$d(z\alpha x) = d(x)\alpha z + \sigma(x)\alpha d(z) \dots \tag{7}$$

for all $x, z \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Equations (6) and (7) give

$$d(z)\alpha x = \sigma(x)\alpha d(z),$$

for all $x, z \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Since σ is an automorphism on M , $\sigma(x) = x$ yielding $d(z)\alpha x = x\alpha d(z)$ for all $x, z \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Therefore $d(z) \in Z$ and so $d(Z) \subset Z$.

Lemma 4 *If d is non-zero reverse σ -derivation on a prime near Γ -ring M and $x\alpha d(M) = 0$ or $d(M)\alpha x = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ then $x = 0$.*

Proof Suppose

$$x\alpha d(v) = 0 \dots \tag{8}$$

for all $v \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing v by $u\beta v$ in equation (8), and by definition of d , we have

$$x\alpha d(v)\beta u + x\alpha\sigma(v)\beta d(u) = 0 \dots \tag{9}$$

for all $x, u, v \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Since σ is an automorphism on M , $\sigma(v) = v$ and we have by equations (8) and (9)

$$x\alpha v\beta d(u) = 0,$$

for all $x, u, v \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

This implies that

$$x\alpha M\beta d(u) = 0,$$

for all $x, u \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Since M is prime, $x = 0$.

Similarly, if $d(M)\alpha x = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, it can be shown that $x = 0$.

3 Commutativity of Prime Near Γ -rings With Non-zero Reverse σ -derivations

Theorem 1 *Let d be a non-zero reverse σ -derivation on a prime near Γ -ring M with center Z satisfying $[x, d(x)]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then M is commutative.*

Proof

Let $[x, d(x)]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. We replace $d(x)$ by $y\beta d(x)$ in $[x, d(x)]_\alpha = 0$ and then use (*) and the given condition in the obtained result to get

$$[x, y]_\alpha \beta d(x) = 0 \dots \tag{10},$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Putting $z\alpha y$ for y in equation (10) and then using (*) and (10) in the obtained result, we get

$$[x, z]_\alpha \alpha y \beta d(x) = 0,$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

This gives

$$[x, z]_\alpha \alpha M \beta d(x) = 0,$$

for all $x, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Since M is prime and d is non-zero, it follows that $[x, z]_\alpha = 0$ and that $x \in Z$ for any fixed $x \in M$. Thus by Lemma 3, $d(x) \in Z$ and so $d(M) \subset Z$. Hence by Lemma 2, M is commutative.

Theorem 2 *If d is a non-zero reverse σ -derivation on a prime near Γ -ring M with center Z such that $[d(y), d(x)]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then M is commutative.*

Proof

Let

$$[d(y), d(x)]_\alpha = 0 \dots \tag{11},$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing y by $y\beta x$ in (11) and then using action of d , (*), and (11) in the obtained result, we have

$$d(x)\alpha[y, d(x)]_\beta + [\sigma(x), d(x)]_\alpha \beta d(y) = 0 \dots \tag{12},$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

For $z \in Z$, we replace $z\alpha y$ for y in (12) and use (*) to get

$$d(x)\alpha z\alpha[y, d(x)]_\beta + d(x)\alpha[z, d(x)]_\alpha\beta y + [\sigma(x), d(x)]_\alpha\alpha d(y)\beta z + [\sigma(x), d(x)]_\alpha\alpha\sigma(y)\beta d(z) = 0 \dots \tag{13},$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Since σ is an automorphism on M , $\sigma(x) = x$ and $\sigma(y) = y$ in (3) and then (12) i (13) gives that

$$[x, d(x)]_\alpha\alpha y\beta d(z) = 0,$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

This gives that (13) gives that

$$[x, d(x)]_\alpha\alpha M\beta d(z) = 0,$$

for all $x, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Since M is prime and d is non-zero, $[x, d(x)]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Thus by Theorem 1, M is commutative.

Theorem 3 *If d is a non-zero reverse σ -derivation on a prime near Γ -ring M with center Z satisfying $[x, d(y)]_\alpha \in Z$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \in \Gamma$, then M is commutative.*

Proof

Suppose that $[x, d(y)]_\alpha \in Z$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \in \Gamma$. Then for any $u \in M$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$, we have

$$[[x, d(y)]_\alpha, u]_\beta = 0 \dots \tag{14}$$

Replacing x by $x\alpha d(y)$ in (14) and then using $*$ and (14) in the obtained result, we have

$$[x, d(y)]_\alpha\alpha[d(y), u]_\beta = 0 \dots \tag{15},$$

for all $x, y, u \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Putting $u\alpha x$ for x in (15) and then using $(*)$ and (15) in the gained result, we get

$$[u, d(y)]_\alpha\alpha x\beta[d(y), u]_\alpha = 0$$

for all $x, y, u \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. This implies that

$$[u, d(y)]_\alpha\alpha M\beta[d(y), u]_\alpha = 0$$

for all $y, u \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Since M is prime, either

$$[u, d(y)]_\alpha = 0 \dots \tag{16}$$

for all $y, u \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$,

or

$$[d(y), u]_\alpha = 0 \dots \tag{17}$$

for all $y, u \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Now, replacing $d(y)$ by $v\alpha d(y)$ in equations (16) and (17) and using equations (16) and (17) in the obtained results, we have $[u, v]_\alpha \alpha d(y) = 0$ or $[v, u]_\alpha \alpha d(y) = 0$ for all $y, u, v \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Then by Lemma 4, we have $[u, v]_\alpha = 0$ and $[v, u]_\alpha = 0$ for all $u, v \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Hence M is commutative.

Theorem 4 *If d is a non-zero reverse σ -derivation on a prime near Γ -ring and $x \in M$ with center Z such that $[x, d(x)]_\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then $d(x) = 0$ or $x \in Z$, and so M is commutative.*

Proof

Suppose

$$[x, d(x)]_\alpha = 0 \dots \tag{18}$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing $d(x)$ by $y\beta d(x)$ in equation (18) and then using (*) and (18) in obtained results, we have

$$[x, y]_\alpha \beta d(x) = 0 \dots \tag{19}$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Putting y by $z\alpha y$ in equation (19) and then using (*) and (19) in the gained result, we have

$$[x, z]_\alpha \alpha y \beta d(x) = 0,$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. This implies that $[x, z]_\alpha \alpha M \beta d(x) = 0$ for all $x, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Since M is prime and d is non-zero, $[x, z]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x, z \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. This implies $x \in Z$ for any fixed $x \in M$, and so by Lemma 3, $d(M) \subset Z$. Therefore by Lemma 2, M is commutative.

Theorem 5 *If d is a non-zero reverse σ -derivation on a prime near Γ -ring M such that $d([x, y]_\alpha) = [x, d(y)]_\alpha$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then M is commutative.*

Proof

Let

$$d([x, y]_\alpha) = [x, d(y)]_\alpha \dots \tag{20},$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Writing $y\beta x$ in equation (20) and then employing (*) and (20) in the obtained outcome, we have

$$[x, d(x)]_\alpha \beta y + [x, \sigma(x)]_\alpha \beta d(y) = 0 \dots \tag{21},$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Since σ is an automorphism on M , $\sigma(x) = x$ and so (21) becomes

$$[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} \beta y = 0 \dots \quad (22),$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Now, replacing y by $y\alpha d(x)$ in equation (22) and using (*), we have

$$[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} \alpha y \beta d(x) = 0,$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

This implies $[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} \alpha M \beta d(x) = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Since M is prime and d is non-zero,

$$[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Therefore by Theorem 1 it follows that M is commutative.

4 Commutativity of 2-torsion free Prime Near Γ -rings With Non-zero Derivations

Theorem 6 *If d is a non-zero derivation on a 2-torsion free prime near Γ -ring M such that $[d(x), y]_{\alpha} = [x, d(y)]_{\alpha}$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then M is commutative.*

Proof

Let

$$[d(x), y]_{\alpha} = [x, d(y)]_{\alpha} \dots \quad (23),$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing $d(y)\beta x$ for x in equation (23), and then using (*), we get

$$d(d(y)\alpha x)\beta y, -y\beta d(d(y)\alpha x) = d(y)\alpha d(x)\beta y - d(y)\alpha y\beta d(x)$$

which gives

$$d(y)\alpha d(x)\beta y + d^2(y)\alpha x\beta y - y\alpha d^2(y)\beta x - y\alpha d(y)\beta d(x) = d(y)\alpha d(x)\beta y - d(y)\alpha y\beta d(x).$$

Since $y\alpha d(y) = d(y)\alpha y$, the last equation becomes

$$d^2(y)\alpha x\beta y = y\alpha d^2(y)\beta x \dots \quad (24),$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Putting $z\alpha x$ for x in equation (24) with (*), we have

$$d^2(y)\alpha z\alpha x\beta y = y\alpha d^2(y)\alpha z\beta x = d^2(y)\alpha z\alpha y\beta x,$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

yielding

$$d^2(y)\alpha M \beta [x, y]_{\alpha} = 0,$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Therefore by (Theorem 3.6, [9]), M is commutative.

Theorem 7 *If d is a non-zero derivation on a 2-torsion free prime near Γ -ring M such that $[d(x), y]_\alpha = [d(x), d(y)]_\alpha$ or $[x, d(y)]_\alpha = [d(x), d(y)]_\alpha$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then M is commutative.*

Proof

First suppose that

$$[d(x), y]_\alpha = [d(x), d(y)]_\alpha \dots \tag{25},$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Using $d(x)\beta y$ for y in equation (25) and employing (*) with simplification, we get

$$d(x)\alpha d(d(x)\beta y) - d(d(x)\beta y)\alpha d(x) = d(x)\alpha d(x)\beta d(y) - d(x)\alpha d(y)\beta d(x)$$

which leads to

$$d(x)\alpha d(x)\beta d(y) + d(x)\alpha d^2(x)\beta y - d^2(x)\alpha y\beta d(x) - d(x)\alpha d(y)\beta d(x) = d(x)\alpha d(x)\beta d(y) - d(x)\alpha$$

which reduces to

$$d(x)\alpha d^2(x)\beta y = d^2(x)\alpha y\beta d(x) \dots \tag{26},$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Replacing y by $z\alpha y$ in equation (26) to get

$$d^2(x)\alpha z\beta y\alpha d(x) = d(x)\alpha d^2(x)\alpha z\beta y = d^2(x)\alpha z\beta d(x)\alpha y,$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$,

yielding

$$d^2(x)\alpha M\beta [d(x), y]_\alpha = 0$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Since M is prime either $d^2(y) = 0$ or $[d(x), y]_\alpha = 0$.

Putting $d(y)$ for y in equation (25), we have

$$[d(x), d(y)]_\alpha = 0,$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Therefore M is commutative due to (Theorem 3.6, [9]).

The proof of the part assuming $[x, d(y)]_\alpha = [d(x), d(y)]_\alpha$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ is straightforward.

Theorem 8 *If d is a non-zero derivation on a 2-torsion free prime near Γ -ring M such that $[d(x), y]_\alpha = -[d(x), d(y)]_\alpha$ or $[x, d(y)]_\alpha = -[d(x), y]_\alpha$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then M is commutative.*

Proof

Suppose that

$$[d(x), y]_\alpha = -[d(x), d(y)]_\alpha \dots \quad (27),$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing y by $d(x)\beta y$ in equation (27), and then using (*) with simplification, we get

$$d(x)\alpha d(d(x)\beta y) - d(d(x)\beta y)\alpha d(x) = d(x)\alpha d(x)\beta d(y) - d(x)\alpha d(y)\beta d(x)$$

which gives

$$d(x)\alpha d(x)\beta d(y) + d(x)\alpha d^2(x)\beta y - d^2(x)\alpha y\beta d(x) - d(x)\alpha d(y)\beta d(x) = d(x)\alpha d(x)\beta d(y) - d(x)\alpha$$

yielding

$$d(x)\alpha d^2(x)\beta y = d^2(x)\alpha y\beta d(x) \dots \quad (28),$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Substituting $z\alpha y$ for y in equation (28), we have

$$d^2(x)\alpha z\beta y\alpha d(x) = d(x)\alpha d^2(x)\alpha z\beta y = d^2(x)\alpha z\beta d(x)\alpha y,$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$,

leading to

$$d^2(x)\alpha M\beta[d(x), y]_\alpha = 0$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Since M is prime either $d^2(y) = 0$ or $[d(x), y]_\alpha = 0$.

Replacing y by $d(y)$ in equation (27), we have

$$[d(x), d(y)]_\alpha = 0,$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Therefore M is commutative by (Theorem 3.6, [9]).

By the same process M is commutative if we assume that $[x, d(y)]_\alpha = -[d(x), y]_\alpha$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

5 Comparison

K. K. Dey and A. C. Paul [5] worked on σ -derivations and their compositions on Prime Gamma-Near-Rings while we used non-zero reverse σ -derivations on prime near Γ -rings M and non-zero derivations d on 2-torsion free prime near Γ -rings M to show the commutativity of M . We proved the commutativity of prime near Γ -rings applying non-zero reverse σ -derivations on the whole prime near Γ -ring M while A. M. Ibraheem [3] investigated the commutativity of prime Γ -near-rings M with the help of generalized Γ -derivations F and G satisfying certain conditions considering subsets of prime Γ -near-rings M .

6 Conclusion

Under certain conditions on a non-zero reverse σ -derivation d on a prime near Γ -ring M with center Z of M and with an automorphism σ on M , M is commutative. M is also commutative under non-zero derivations d on M with conditions $[d(x), y]_\alpha = [x, d(y)]_\alpha$, $[d(x), y]_\alpha = [d(x), d(y)]_\alpha$, $[x, d(y)]_\alpha = [d(x), d(y)]_\alpha$, $[d(x), y]_\alpha = -[d(x), d(y)]_\alpha$ and $[x, d(y)]_\alpha = -[d(x), y]_\alpha$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the anonymous referees for their constructive suggestions and helpful comments. The author also thanks Dr. Akhil Chandra Paul, Retired Professor at the Department of Mathematics in the University of Rajshahi, for his valuable suggestions regarding this work.

References

- [1] Halder, A. K. and Paul, A.C. (2014), "Two Torsion free Prime Gamma Rings with Jordan Left Derivations ", *Punjab Univ. J. of Math.(ISSN 1016-2526)*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 59-65.
- [2] Ibraheem, A. M. (2018), "The Commutativity of Prime Near Rings ", *I. J. of Research (ISSN 2350-0530(O), ISSN 2394-3629(P))*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 339-345.
- [3] Ibraheem, A. M. (2014), "On Commutativity of prime gamma near rings ", *IOSR-JM, (e-ISSN: 2278-5728, p-ISSN:2319-765X)*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 68-71.
- [4] Bell, H. E., Boua, A. and Oukhtite, L. (2012), "On Derivations of Prime Near-Rings ", *A. D. J. of Math., ISSN 1539-854X*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 65-72.
- [5] Dey, K. K. and Paul, A. C. (2014), "Prime Gamma-Near-Rings with σ -Derivations ", *J. Sci. Res.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 467-473.
- [6] Dey, K. K. and Paul, A. C. (2012), "On Derivations in Prime Gamma-Near-Rings ", *GANIT J. Bangladesh Math. Soc., ISSN 1606-3694*, vol. 32, pp. 23-28.
- [7] Acsci, M. and Ceran, S. (2007), "The commutativity in prime gamma rings with left derivations ", *In. Math. Forum*, vol. 2, no., pp. 103-108.
- [8] Sapanci, M. and Nakajima, A. (1997), "Jordan derivations on completely prime gamma rings ", *Math. japonica*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 47-51.
- [9] Uckun, M., Ozturk, M. A. and Jun, Y. B. (2004), "On Prime Gamma-Near-Rings With Derivations", *Commun Korean Math. Soc.*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 427-433.
- [10] Ceven, Y. (2002), "Jordan Left Derivations on Completely Prime Gamma rings", *C.U. Fen-Edebiyat Fakultesi, Fen Bilimleri Dergisi*, Cilt 23 Sayi2.