

Proof of a multivariate symmetric inequality by local majorization method

Dejun Zhao

School of Mathematics, Physics and Statistics, Shanghai University of Engineering Science, Shanghai, 201620, China

Abstract

In this paper, we establish a multivariate symmetric inequality of four variables by using local majorization method and Schur convex.

Keywords: *Fractional inequality, Inequality conjecture, Local majorization, Schur convex function.*

Date of Submission: 08-11-2021

Date of acceptance: 24-11-2021

I. INTRODUCTION

In engineering application, statistical analysis and inequality proof, the extreme values of the following function are often involved.

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i), \tag{1.1}$$

where $f(x)$ is usually a derivable function in interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $f(x)$ is a second derivable function with at least one inflection point in interval I . Then it is not suitable to directly use Jensen's inequality to obtain its extreme value of (1.1) for I^n on hyperplane $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = 1$. For example, Kang [2] prove the following inequality by using the properties of Jensen's convex function.

Proposition A. Suppose that $4 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are positive numbers. Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n+1}{x_1} - n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n+1}{x_2} - n}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n+1}{x_n} - n}} \geq 1. \tag{1.2}$$

with equality if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = \frac{1}{n}$.

But, Huang [3] pointed out that the Kang's proof for inequality (1.2) is mistake, and then gave the results contrary to inequality (1.2). However, we gave a counterexample to point out that the proofs of the two opposite conclusions in [2] and [3] are wrong, and proved the following inequality (see [4]).

Theorem B Suppose that $x_1, x_2, x_3 > 0$ $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1$. Then

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{x_1}{4-3x_1}} + \sqrt{\frac{x_2}{4-3x_2}} + \sqrt{\frac{x_3}{4-3x_3}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{3+8\sqrt{6}}}{3\sqrt{3}} + \frac{2\sqrt{4\sqrt{6}-9}}{3\sqrt{15}}. \tag{1.3}$$

In this paper, by using local majorization and Schur's convex function technical method, we will prove the following inequality.

Theorem 1 Suppose that $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 > 0$ $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 1$. Then

$$\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \leq \sqrt{\frac{x_1}{5-4x_1}} + \sqrt{\frac{x_2}{5-4x_2}} + \sqrt{\frac{x_3}{5-4x_3}} + \sqrt{\frac{x_4}{5-4x_4}} \leq 1.065646364\dots \quad (1.4)$$

II. THE PROOF OF THEOREM

1 Proof of Theorem 1.

Let $f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{x}{5-4x}}$, then $F := F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \sum_{i=1}^4 f(x_i)$. Write $a(x) = \sqrt{x(5-4x)^3}$, we have

$$f'(x) = \frac{5}{2} x^{-1/2} (5-4x)^{-3/2} = \frac{5}{2a(x)}. \quad (2.1)$$

$$f''(x) = 20x^{-3/2} (5-4x)^{-5/2} \left(x - \frac{5}{16}\right). \quad (2.2)$$

Thus $f(x)$ has a unique inflection point $x = 5/16$ in the interval $(0, 1)$.

Noticing that $F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ is a symmetric function in the region: $0 < x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 < 1$ and $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 1$, we may assume that $1 > x_1 \geq x_2 \geq x_3 \geq x_4 > 0$. According to the different positions of the inflection point $x = 5/16$, it can be divided into the following four situations.

Case 1. If $5/16 \geq x_1 \geq x_2 \geq x_3 \geq x_4 > 0$, then $F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ is a Schur concave function in the region $(0, 5/16]^4$. Since

$$\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right) \prec (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \prec \left(\frac{5}{16}, \frac{5}{16}, \frac{5}{16}, \frac{1}{16}\right), \quad (2.3)$$

therefore

$$3f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right) + f\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) \leq F \leq 4f\left(\frac{1}{4}\right). \quad (2.4)$$

Case 2. If $1 > x_1 \geq 5/16 \geq x_2 \geq x_3 \geq x_4 > 0$, then $F_1(x_2, x_3, x_4) := F(1 - \sum_{i=2}^4 x_i, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ is a Schur concave function in the region $(0, 5/16]^3$. Since

$$\left(\frac{1-x_1}{3}, \frac{1-x_1}{3}, \frac{1-x_1}{3}\right) \prec (x_2, x_3, x_4) \prec \begin{cases} (1-x_1, 0, 0), & 0 < 1-x_1 \leq \frac{5}{16} \\ \left(\frac{5}{16}, \frac{11}{16}-x_1, 0\right), & \frac{5}{16} \leq 1-x_1 < \frac{10}{16} \\ \left(\frac{5}{16}, \frac{5}{16}, \frac{6}{16}-x_1\right), & \frac{10}{16} \leq 1-x_1 < \frac{11}{16} \end{cases}, \quad (2.5)$$

therefore

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & f(x_1) + f(1-x_1), & \frac{11}{16} < x_1 < 1 \\ & f(x_1) + f\left(\frac{11}{16}-x_1\right) + f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right), & \frac{6}{16} < x_1 \leq \frac{11}{16} \\ & f(x_1) + f\left(\frac{6}{16}-x_1\right) + 2f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right), & \frac{5}{16} \leq x_1 \leq \frac{6}{16} \end{aligned} \right\} \leq F \leq f(x_1) + 3f\left(\frac{1-x_1}{3}\right). \quad (2.6)$$

Case 3. If $1 > x_1 \geq x_2 \geq 5/16 \geq x_3 \geq x_4 > 0$, then $f(x_1) + f(x_2)$ is a Schur convex function in the region $[5/16, 1]^2$, and $f(x_3) + f(x_4)$ is a Schur concave function in the region $[0, 5/16]^2$.

(i) If $\frac{10}{16} \leq x_1 + x_2 := t < \frac{11}{16}$, then $\frac{5}{16} < x_3 + x_4 = 1 - t \leq \frac{6}{16}$. In this case, it holds the following majorization relations.

$$\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}, \frac{x_1+x_2}{2}\right) \prec (x_1, x_2) \prec \left(x_1+x_2-\frac{5}{16}, \frac{5}{16}\right), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\left(\frac{x_3+x_4}{2}, \frac{x_3+x_4}{2}\right) \prec (x_3, x_4) \prec \left(\frac{5}{16}, x_3+x_4-\frac{5}{16}\right). \quad (2.8)$$

We have

$$2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}\right) \leq f(x_1) + f(x_2) \leq f\left(x_1+x_2-\frac{5}{16}\right) + f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right), \quad (2.9)$$

$$f\left(x_3+x_4-\frac{5}{16}\right) + f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right) \leq f(x_3) + f(x_4) \leq 2f\left(\frac{x_3+x_4}{2}\right). \quad (2.10)$$

Thus

$$2f\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{11}{16}-t\right) + f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right) \leq F \leq 2f\left(\frac{1-t}{2}\right) + f\left(t-\frac{5}{16}\right) + f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right), \quad \frac{10}{16} \leq t < \frac{11}{16}. \quad (2.11)$$

(ii) If $\frac{11}{16} \leq x_1 + x_2 = t < 1$, then $0 < x_3 + x_4 = 1 - t \leq \frac{5}{16}$. In this case, it holds the following majorization relations.

$$\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}, \frac{x_1+x_2}{2}\right) \prec (x_1, x_2) \prec \left(x_1+x_2-\frac{5}{16}, \frac{5}{16}\right), \quad (2.12)$$

$$\left(\frac{x_3+x_4}{2}, \frac{x_3+x_4}{2}\right) \prec (x_3, x_4) \prec (x_3+x_4, 0). \quad (2.13)$$

We have

$$2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}\right) \leq f(x_1) + f(x_2) \leq f\left(x_1+x_2-\frac{5}{16}\right) + f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right), \quad (2.14)$$

$$f(x_3+x_4) \leq f(x_3) + f(x_4) \leq 2f\left(\frac{x_3+x_4}{2}\right). \quad (2.15)$$

Thus

$$2f\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) + f(1-t) \leq F \leq 2f\left(\frac{1-t}{2}\right) + f\left(t-\frac{5}{16}\right) + f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right), \quad \frac{11}{16} \leq t < 1. \quad (2.16)$$

Case 4. If $1 > x_1 \geq x_2 \geq x_3 \geq 5/16 > x_4 > 0$, then $F_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) := F(x_1, x_2, x_3, 1 - \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i)$ is a Schur convex function in the region $(5/16, 1]^3$. Since

$$\left(\frac{1-x_4}{3}, \frac{1-x_4}{3}, \frac{1-x_4}{3}\right) \prec (x_1, x_2, x_3) \prec \left(\frac{6}{16}-x_4, \frac{5}{16}, \frac{5}{16}\right), \quad (2.17)$$

therefore

$$3f\left(\frac{1-x_4}{3}\right) + f(x_4) \leq F \leq f(x_4) + f\left(\frac{6}{16}-x_4\right) + 2f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right). \quad (2.18)$$

Combining (2.6), (2.11), (2.16) and (2.18), we get

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & f(x) + f(1-x), \quad \frac{11}{16} < x < 1 \\ & f(x) + f\left(\frac{11}{16}-x\right) + f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right), \quad \frac{6}{16} < x \leq \frac{11}{16} \\ & f(x) + f\left(\frac{6}{16}-x\right) + 2f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right), \quad \frac{5}{16} < x \leq \frac{6}{16} \\ & 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{11}{16}-x\right) + f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right), \quad \frac{10}{16} \leq x \leq \frac{11}{16} \\ & 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + f(1-x), \quad \frac{11}{16} \leq x < 1 \\ & f(x) + 3f\left(\frac{1-x}{3}\right), \quad 0 < x \leq \frac{5}{16} \end{aligned} \right\} \leq F \leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} & f(x) + 3f\left(\frac{1-x}{3}\right), \quad \frac{5}{16} \leq x < 1 \\ & f\left(x - \frac{5}{16}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right), \quad \frac{10}{16} \leq x < 1 \\ & f(x) + f\left(\frac{6}{16}-x\right) + 2f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right), \quad 0 < x < \frac{5}{16} \end{aligned} \right.$$

(2.19)

Wirte

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(x) &:= f(x) + f(1-x), & h_2(x) &:= f(x) + f\left(\frac{11}{16}-x\right) + f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right), \\ h_3(x) &:= 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + f(1-x), & h_4(x) &:= f(x) + f\left(\frac{6}{16}-x\right) + 2f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right), \\ h_5(x) &:= f(x) + 3f\left(\frac{1-x}{3}\right), & h_6(x) &:= 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{11}{16}-x\right) + f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

(a) By

$$h_1'(x) = \frac{-5(2x-1)(112x^2-112x+1)}{2a(x)a(1-x)\{a^2(x)+a^2(1-x)\}} = \begin{cases} > 0, & \frac{11}{16} \leq x < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3\sqrt{21}}{28} \\ < 0, & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3\sqrt{21}}{28} < x < 1 \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

Thus,

$$\min_{\frac{11}{16} \leq x < 1} h_1(x) = \min \left\{ h_1\left(\frac{11}{16}\right), h_1(1) \right\} = h_1\left(\frac{11}{16}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{11} + \sqrt{3}}{6}. \quad (2.21)$$

(b) By

$$h_2'(x) = \frac{-5(32x-11)(9728x^2-6688x+729)}{2048a(x)a\left(\frac{11}{16}-x\right)\left\{a^2(x)+a^2\left(\frac{11}{16}-x\right)\right\}} = \begin{cases} > 0, & \frac{6}{16} < x < \frac{11}{32} + \frac{29\sqrt{19}}{608} \\ < 0, & \frac{11}{32} + \frac{29\sqrt{19}}{608} < x < \frac{11}{16} \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

Thus,

$$\min_{\frac{6}{16} < x \leq \frac{11}{16}} h_2(x) = \min \left\{ h_2\left(\frac{6}{16}\right), h_2\left(\frac{11}{16}\right) \right\} = h_2\left(\frac{11}{16}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{11} + \sqrt{3}}{6}. \quad (2.23)$$

(c) By

$$h'_3(x) = \frac{-5(3x-2)(40x^3+36x^2-50x+1)}{2a(1-x)a\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\left\{a^2(1-x)+a^2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\}} = \begin{cases} > 0, & \frac{11}{16} < x < t_1 \approx 0.741120 \\ < 0, & t_1 < x < 1 \end{cases} \quad (2.24)$$

Thus,

$$\min_{\frac{11}{16} \leq x < 1} h_3(x) = \min \left\{ h_3\left(\frac{11}{16}\right), h_3(1) \right\} = 2f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}. \quad (2.25)$$

(d) By

$$h'_4(x) = \frac{-5(16x-3)(1536x^2-576x+343)}{128a(x)a\left(\frac{6}{16}-x\right)\left\{a^2(x)+a^2\left(\frac{6}{16}-x\right)\right\}} = \begin{cases} > 0, & 0 \leq x < \frac{3}{16} \\ < 0, & \frac{3}{16} < x < \frac{6}{16} \end{cases} \quad (2.26)$$

Thus,

$$\min_{\frac{5}{16} \leq x \leq \frac{6}{16}} h_4(x) = h_4\left(\frac{6}{16}\right) = f\left(\frac{6}{16}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{21}}{14} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}. \quad (2.27)$$

$$\max_{0 < x < \frac{5}{16}} h_4(x) = h_4\left(\frac{3}{16}\right) = 2f\left(\frac{3}{16}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{51}}{17} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}. \quad (2.28)$$

(e) By

$$h'_5(x) = \frac{5(4x-1)(1280x^3-4656x^2+4680x-1331)}{162a(x)a\left(\frac{1-x}{3}\right)\left\{a^2(x)+a^2\left(\frac{1-x}{3}\right)\right\}} = \begin{cases} > 0, & 0 < x < \frac{1}{4} \\ < 0, & \frac{1}{4} < x < t_2 \approx 0.49498868 \\ > 0, & t_2 < x < t_3 \approx 0.96454164 \\ < 0, & t_3 < x < 1 \end{cases} \quad (2.29)$$

Thus,

$$\min_{0 < x \leq \frac{5}{16}} h_5(x) = \min \left\{ h_5(0), h_5\left(\frac{5}{16}\right) \right\} = h_5(0) = 3f\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{11}}. \quad (2.30)$$

$$\max_{\frac{5}{16} \leq x < 1} h_5(x) = \max \left\{ h_5\left(\frac{5}{16}\right), h_5(t_3) \right\} = h_5(t_3) = f(t_3) + 3f\left(\frac{1-t_3}{3}\right) \approx 1.065646364. \quad (2.31)$$

where t_3 is the root of the following cubic equation

$$1280x^3 - 4656x^2 + 4680x - 1331 = 0$$

closest to the right endpoint 1 in the interval $[0,1]$.

(f) By

$$h'_6(x) = \frac{-5(24x-11)(2560x^3+5184x^2-1400x+729)}{2048a\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)a\left(\frac{11}{16}-x\right)\left\{a^2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)+a^2\left(\frac{11}{16}-x\right)\right\}} = \begin{cases} > 0, & 0 < x < \frac{11}{24} \\ < 0, & \frac{11}{24} < x < 1 \end{cases} \quad (2.32)$$

Thus,

$$\min_{\frac{10}{16} \leq x \leq \frac{11}{16}} h_6(x) = h_6\left(\frac{11}{16}\right) = 2f\left(\frac{11}{32}\right) + f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{319}}{29} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}. \quad (2.33)$$

$$\max_{0 < x \leq \frac{6}{16}} h_6(x) = h_6\left(\frac{6}{16}\right) = 2f\left(\frac{3}{16}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{5}{16}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{51}}{17} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}. \quad (2.34)$$

Comparing the minimal values and maximal values in (2.21) - (2.34), and combine (2.4) with (2.19), we get (1.4).

The proof is finished.

III. REFERENCES

- [1]. Sheng H. L., 2003. Problem 1454[J]. Bulletin Des Science Mathematics. 9(2003), 48.
- [2]. Kang X. R., 2014. Promotion and further guess for an inequality[J]. Journal of Mianyang Normal University. 33(11), (2014), 10-11, 15.
- [3]. Huang H., 2018. The proof and extension of an inequality conjecture[J]. College Mathematics. 34(3), (2003), 99-102.
- [4]. Zhao D. J., On the negation and correction of an inequality conjecture, Global Journal of Mathematics, 13(1), Nov.30 (1998) 872-878.
- [5]. Marshall A. W., Olkin I., 1979. Inequalities: Theory of majorization and its applications[M], New York: Academic Press.